

Entropic Scalar EFT: From Entanglement Microstructure to Gravity and Cosmic Structure

Jacob Chinitz

May 16, 2026

Abstract

We propose that the vacuum is not an inert stage but a finite-capacity entanglement substrate, and that matter is the localized depletion of that capacity. On this view, inertial mass is the entanglement content of a defect, gravity is the long-wavelength relaxation of the surrounding substrate, and the galactic excess usually attributed to dark matter is the extended reach of that relaxation rather than a separate particulate component.

The main result is a closed conditional derivation of the static weak-field sector. A minimal tetrahedral boundary ensemble, together with a one-bit fermionic defect anchor, turns the ultraviolet problem into a finite counting problem. Admissibility weighting, edge transport, finite-loop dressing, continuum matching, and source projection then determine the coefficients of a scalar entanglement EFT. In the resulting weak-field limit, Newtonian gravity, the galactic acceleration scale, the radial-acceleration relation, and leading no-slip lensing structure arise from one coefficient chain, without per-galaxy interpolation functions or phenomenological tuning.

The same construction also gives a non-gravitational route to the substrate length scale by treating the electron as the lightest elementary defect. The induced gravitational scale agrees with the observed Newton constant to about one percent, providing a quantitative cross-check on the matched weak-field normalization. The proposal is therefore not simply a scalar addition to general relativity: the metric field and the entanglement scalar are two continuum expressions of the same finite-capacity medium.

Time-dependent transport, cosmology, strong-field black-hole physics, and the Many-Pasts interpretation are developed as further consequences of this ontology. These sectors are not presented with equal closure. The static weak-field derivation is the central completed result; the cosmological, strong-field, and microscopic boundary sectors remain conditional or frontier completions where explicitly stated.

Contents

1. Introduction: The Physical Claim	4
1.1 What Is Primitive, and What Is Closed	5
2. Canonical Field Content and Definitions	6
3. The Three Postulates	8
3.1 Information–Geometry Equivalence	8
3.2 Mass–Entropy Equivalence	8
3.3 Many-Pasts Hypothesis	8
4. Relativistic Continuum Structure	9
4.1 Capacity budget and continuum symmetry	9
4.2 Dependency Map of the Theory	10
5. Why a Tetrahedral Boundary Ensemble	10
6. Admissibility Closure	11
6.1 Minimal isotropic kernel	11
6.2 Closure condition and uniqueness	12
6.3 Effective sharing entropy	12
7. Edge Kernel and Tree-Level Coupling	12
8. Finite-Loop Renormalization	13
9. Continuum Stiffness and SI Normalization	14
10. Covariant Action	16
11. Field Equations and Bridge Law	17
12. Newtonian Gravity and the Point-Source Limit	18
13. Electron Anchor and the Mass–Entropy Relation	19
14. Galactic Dynamics	20
15. Lensing, PPN, and Weak-Field Consistency	22
16. Why Dynamics Requires Extension Beyond the Static Branch	22
17. Causal Transport and Telegrapher Dynamics	23
18. Cosmology and the Hubble-Tension Sector	24
19. Why These Sectors Belong	25
20. Strong-Field Branch and Bounded Occupancy	25
21. Many-Pasts: Operational Reduction and Arrow of Time	26
22. Candidate Microstructure Hamiltonian and Underlying Dynamics	26
23. Closure-Status Table	27

24. Falsifiability and Observational Tests	28
24.1 Static weak-field falsifiers	28
24.2 Dynamical falsifiers	29
24.3 Cosmological falsifiers	29
24.4 Correlated-constant falsifiers	29
24.5 Many-Pasts status	29
25. What the Theory Would Have to Get Wrong to Fail	29
26. Comparison with Other Approaches	30
26.1 Relative to Λ CDM	30
26.2 Relative to MOND-like interpolation programs	30
26.3 Relative to Verlinde-style emergent gravity	30
26.4 Relative to TeVeS and other multi-field modified gravities	30
27. Conclusion	31

Part I. Physical Idea and Canonical Definitions

1. Introduction: The Physical Claim

The central hypothesis is that the vacuum’s local entanglement capacity is a real dynamical resource and that the localized objects we call matter are defects of that same resource rather than independent agents acting on it from outside. In this framework inertial mass is the entanglement content of a localized defect read through κ_m , gravity is the long-wavelength field carried by the surrounding restructuring of vacuum capacity around that defect, and what is usually modeled by particulate dark matter is the long-range reach of that same restructuring. The weak-field manifestation of that medium is a scalar EFT written in terms of a vacuum-relative entanglement field $S_{\text{ent}}(x)$ and its deficit relative to the background capacity. At continuum scale the defect sector is written in ordinary stress-energy variables, but its ontology is unchanged: it is still the coarse description of localized entanglement defects rather than a separate substance.

This is meant as a genuine replacement proposal for part of the usual dark-sector story, not simply as a new vocabulary laid on top of it. In the standard picture, one keeps visible matter and Einstein gravity, then introduces additional dark components to account for the missing gravitational response. Here the alternative hypothesis is that the vacuum already carries a finite entanglement-capacity structure, and that what we call matter is a localized defect of that structure. The same medium is then asked to explain ordinary weak-field gravity, the galactic excess usually attributed to dark matter, and the homogeneous mode relevant in cosmology.

The proposal is also meant to reach deeper than an ordinary scalar extension of Einstein gravity. If the underlying substrate has one finite update budget, an exact isotropic local structure, and no external background manifold, then the continuum description should already be Lorentzian and covariant before any further phenomenology is added. In that reading, GR is not an external geometric stage to which the entanglement field is later appended. It is the low-energy capacity geometry of the same substrate. The scalar sector then keeps track of how that geometry is depleted and redistributed by localized defects.

The derivation proceeds from microstructure to observables. After introducing the field content, postulates, and normalization conventions, the static weak-field coefficient chain is derived from a minimal tetrahedral boundary ensemble with admissibility closure, edge coupling, and finite loop dressing. The resulting EFT then recovers Newtonian gravity, the galactic acceleration scale, the radial acceleration relation, lensing consistency, and the leading weak-field post-Newtonian structure without per-system tuning. Time-dependent transport, cosmology, strong-field completion, and the Many-Pasts sector are taken up afterward as extensions of the same framework, though not all of those sectors are developed to the same degree of closure.

The central claim is sharper than a heuristic analogy: one scalar capacity mode can be followed from microscopic counting all the way to weak-field observables. In compressed form, the chain is

$$\text{microstructure} \longrightarrow \text{coefficient chain} \longrightarrow \text{continuum EFT} \longrightarrow \text{observables}.$$

That chain is the primary claim: the same mode is counted in the UV, stiffened by edge transport, sourced by localized defects, normalized by the weak-field bridge, and observed as Newtonian gravity plus galactic excess acceleration.

The logical order is simple. Part I says what the theory is about, fixes the variables, and explains why the continuum description should already be relativistic and covariant if the substrate picture is correct. Part II asks whether a minimal UV boundary structure can actually determine the coefficients that later appear in that continuum theory. Part III asks whether those coefficients reproduce ordinary gravity and the galactic weak-field phenomenology. Only

after that chain is visible do the later parts discuss time dependence, cosmology, strong-field completion, and quantum-foundational interpretation.

The physical hypothesis is global, but the most complete derivational closure is the static weak-field UV-to-EFT chain. Other sectors are developed as controlled consequences or structured frontier extensions.

The framework is unusual in five ways at once: general relativity is derived rather than posited, Newton’s G is predicted from the electron Compton scale and a single UV combinatorial number to about one percent, the galactic acceleration scale and radial-acceleration law are derived outputs with no per-system tuning, the horizon area coefficient $1/4$ closes from microscopic graph counting using the same Joyce diamond-lattice constant that fixes the weak-field source projection, and a closure-status table separates what is derivationally tight from what remains frontier. The point of the manuscript is that these features are not independent design choices but consequences of one finite UV counting problem.

1.1 What Is Primitive, and What Is Closed

The word “closure” is used here in a specific sense. The paper does not attempt to derive the existence of a finite entanglement substrate from no assumptions, nor does it derive the tetrahedral boundary ensemble from a still deeper microscopic Hamiltonian in the main text. Those are theory-defining ultraviolet inputs. The closure claim begins after those inputs are specified.

The primitive inputs are finite local entanglement capacity, matter as localized defects of that capacity, mass–entropy equivalence, the minimal tetrahedral boundary ensemble, and the ground-state faithful sector-resolution principle that fixes the absolute UV cell scale from the electron Compton length and the already-derived sharing entropy. Given those inputs, the static weak-field sector is claimed to close: the finite boundary counting problem fixes the admissibility weighting, effective sharing entropy, substrate cell length, edge kernel, loop dressing, continuum stiffness, source projection, weak-field bridge, Newtonian limit, galactic acceleration scale, radial-acceleration relation, and leading no-slip metric structure without per-system tuning.

In compressed form, the claim is

$$\boxed{\text{primitive UV capacity hypothesis}} \rightarrow \boxed{\text{finite counting} + \text{admissibility}} \rightarrow \boxed{L_*} \\ \rightarrow \boxed{\gamma, \kappa/\gamma} \rightarrow \boxed{\delta S \leftrightarrow \Phi} \rightarrow \boxed{G, a_0, \text{RAR, no slip}}.$$

The microstructure is therefore not itself the result being proven. It is the finite ultraviolet counting problem from which the weak-field sector is derived. The absolute length calibration is supplied by the sector-resolution principle

$$\ln\left(\frac{\lambda_e}{L_*}\right) = 7g_{\text{share,eff}} - \ln\left(\frac{3}{2}\right),$$

which identifies the electron with the maximally-extended (seven-pass) ground state of the history-space sector-resolution operator, supported over its reduced Compton scale. The seven-fold additive form $7g_{\text{share,eff}}$ is product-measure entropy additivity in the history space, and the $\ln(3/2)$ correction is the transverse export factor derived in Appendix C.5; the microscopic postulate proper is the electron identification alone. The central technical claim is conditional but nontrivial: given the postulates, the minimal tetrahedral ensemble, and this sector-resolution principle, the static weak-field branch has no remaining phenomenological knobs.

This distinction matters because the continuum action can superficially resemble an ordinary scalar-tensor theory. In a conventional scalar-tensor model, one usually asks whether variation

of a covariant action containing a scalar field can produce the desired metric phenomenology. Here the scalar is the continuum order parameter of an underlying capacity substrate, and the weak-field bridge is the emergent-geometry dictionary relating fractional capacity depletion to the metric lapse. The closure question is therefore not whether the tetrahedral microstructure has been derived from nothing, but whether the specified microscopic capacity ensemble fixes the weak-field gravitational response once it is adopted.

The remaining external tasks are correspondingly clear: derive the same microscopic ensemble from a deeper substrate Hamiltonian, derive the faithful sector-resolution principle from that Hamiltonian rather than taking it as the elementary-defect support rule, independently audit the graph-level return operator, confront the resulting radial-acceleration law and no-slip prediction with data, derive the horizon boundary action from the graph ensemble, and extend the constrained-capacity black-hole branch into a fully dynamical collapse theory. These are reconstruction and validation tasks outside the already-closed static weak-field branch, not hidden fit parameters inside it.

2. Canonical Field Content and Definitions

We define the fundamental continuum variable as the vacuum-relative coarse-grained entanglement assigned to a UV probe cell of size L_* centered at x :

$$S_{\text{ent}}(x) \in \mathbb{R},$$

measured in nats and therefore dimensionless. This is not a literal microscopic entropy density at a mathematical point. It is the leading scalar order parameter associated with a vacuum-relative entanglement defect after coarse-graining over a UV cell.

This definition keeps the microscopic and continuum pictures tied together. At continuum level, $S_{\text{ent}}(x)$ is the field that appears in the action and field equations. At the microscopic level it is the coarse variable recording how much local entanglement capacity remains available in the underlying medium after averaging over a UV cell.

The asymptotic vacuum-capacity baseline is denoted S_∞ , and the deficit field is

$$\delta S(x) \equiv S_\infty - S_{\text{ent}}(x).$$

Positive δS denotes reduced available vacuum entanglement capacity in the neighborhood of a localized defect or defect distribution. It is the extended restructuring field sourced by the defect sector, not an independent medium acted on by matter from outside. For nonlinear work it is useful to define the bounded occupancy fraction

$$q(x) \equiv \frac{S_{\text{ent}}(x)}{S_\infty} = 1 - \frac{\delta S}{S_\infty} \in [0, 1].$$

The variables S_{ent} , δS , and q therefore describe the same local physics in three closely related ways: available capacity, missing capacity relative to vacuum, and surviving-capacity fraction. The weak-field theory is most transparent in δS because it talks directly to the Newtonian potential. The nonlinear completion is most transparent in q because boundedness is built in from the start. The operational meanings are:

- $q = 1$: vacuum capacity fully available in the absence of local defect-induced restructuring;
- $0 < q < 1$: partial local capacity reduction around a defect configuration;
- $q = 0$: complete local exhaustion of available capacity on the physical branch.

Fixed-epoch normalization. The absolute normalization of S_{ent} and S_{∞} is a convention once an epoch and cell convention have been fixed. Under a constant rescaling

$$S_{\text{ent}} \mapsto K S_{\text{ent}}, \quad S_{\infty} \mapsto K S_{\infty}, \quad \delta S \mapsto K \delta S,$$

the observable bridge

$$\frac{\Phi}{c^2} = -\frac{\delta S}{2S_{\infty}}$$

is unchanged. The source equation is invariant in the same sense: rescaling the entropy field rescales the source coefficient with it, so the observable Newtonian normalization depends on the gauge-invariant combination $\kappa/(\gamma S_{\infty})$ rather than on S_{∞} alone. A cell-normalized description and a horizon-normalized description can therefore assign different numerical values to S_{∞} without changing Φ , G , or the PPN limit. This is not a time-dependent gauge symmetry; it is a fixed-epoch entropy-unit convention. Gravity sees fractional capacity depletion.

Substrate length scale. The canonical UV cell length is not taken to be the conventional Planck length as an input. It is fixed by the ground-state faithful sector-resolution principle

$$\ln\left(\frac{\lambda_e}{L_*}\right) = 7g_{\text{share,eff}} - \ln\left(\frac{3}{2}\right), \quad \lambda_e = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c}.$$

Equivalently,

$$L_* = \frac{3}{2} \lambda_e e^{-7g_{\text{share,eff}}} = 1.60771947 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}.$$

The corresponding induced gravitational scale is

$$G_* := \frac{c^3 L_*^2}{\hbar} = \frac{9}{4} \frac{\hbar c}{m_e^2} e^{-14g_{\text{share,eff}}} = 6.60399128 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}.$$

The principle says that the lightest one-bit fermionic defect coherently resolves the seven face sectors exactly once and exports only the transverse 2/3 share of that dressing block. The conventional Planck length $L_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$ remains useful for comparison and for standard black-hole thermodynamic notation, but it is not the primitive scale-setting input here.

The principal coefficients and derived quantities used throughout are:

$$\gamma : \text{entanglement-field stiffness}, \quad (1)$$

$$\kappa : \text{defect-entropy coupling}, \quad (2)$$

$$\kappa_m(\ell) : \text{mass-per-entropy map at scale } \ell, \quad (3)$$

$$L_* : \text{substrate cell length fixed by faithful sector resolution}, \quad (4)$$

$$G_* : \text{gravitational scale induced by } L_*, \quad (5)$$

$$g_{\text{share,max}} = \ln(1680), \quad (6)$$

$$g_{\text{share,eff}} : \text{admissibility-weighted effective sharing entropy}, \quad (7)$$

$$J_{\text{bare}}, J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}, J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})} : \text{UV edge-kernel couplings}, \quad (8)$$

$$a_0 = \frac{c H_0 g_{\text{share,eff}}}{4\pi^2}. \quad (9)$$

The gravitational potentials are denoted Φ and Ψ , and the canonical weak-field bridge will be written as

$$\frac{\Phi}{c^2} = -\frac{\delta S}{2S_{\infty}}.$$

These same symbols reappear in the UV closure chain, in the continuum action, and in the phenomenology sections. From this point onward each one keeps the same meaning, so the later derivations can build on a single notation rather than shifting between parallel conventions.

These definitions are fixed canonically and used without further redefinition below.

3. The Three Postulates

3.1 Information–Geometry Equivalence

The first postulate states that vacuum-relative entanglement structure contributes to spacetime curvature on equal footing with ordinary stress-energy. In the EFT this means that the scalar field $S_{\text{ent}}(x)$ enters a covariant action, contributes its own stress-energy, and couples to a trace-equivalent defect source. At continuum scale that source is written in the usual stress-energy variables, but ontologically it is the coarse description of the localized defect sector. In weak field, metric response is governed not by absolute entropy but by the deficit relative to the vacuum-capacity baseline.

The role of Postulate I is to say what gravity is sensitive to. Einstein gravity already tells us that geometry responds to physical content. The present extension says that local entanglement-capacity structure is part of that content. Once that is accepted, gradients and deficits of the entanglement field are no longer metaphorical; they belong in the gravitational bookkeeping alongside the usual stress-energy variables.

3.2 Mass–Entropy Equivalence

The second postulate identifies inertial mass with the entanglement content of a localized defect. At scale ℓ ,

$$m(\ell) = \kappa_m(\ell) \Delta S.$$

For elementary fermionic sectors the canonical defect increment is

$$\Delta S_f = \ln 2,$$

because a spin-1/2 fermionic face exclusion creates a binary occupied/unoccupied defect of the local network and therefore carries exactly one bit of missing entanglement. This provides the cleanest anchor for the mass–entropy map. Mass and entanglement are therefore not two separate substances linked by an empirical proportionality; they are two descriptions of the same localized defect sector at different levels of coarse-graining. For composite sectors, the relevant quantity is the fully dressed bound-state entanglement budget rather than a bare constituent count.

The purpose of this postulate is to remove the temptation to think of matter as external to the medium. In the present ontology, a particle is already a localized defect of the entanglement substrate. Writing $m = \kappa_m \Delta S$ therefore does not assert an analogy between two independent things. It asserts that the inertial content of the defect is the entanglement content of the defect, read in mass units.

3.3 Many-Pasts Hypothesis

The third postulate is part of the full framework, but not every weak-field derivation depends on it directly. In canonical closed form the operational history weight is

$$P(H|P) \propto e^{-D(H,P)},$$

equivalently the branch $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$ of the generalized family. This closed operational branch is fixed because exact Born recovery forces $\alpha = 1$ and forbidding any extra signaling-sensitive operational bias channel forces $\beta = 0$. Its consequences are developed later as part of the theory’s interpretive and cosmological completion sector.

Postulates I and II define the gravitational ontology directly. Postulate III belongs to the broader theory because the same entanglement substrate is also asked to support an account

of branch realization and temporal asymmetry. It is part of the total theory but enters the derivational order later than the weak-field gravity chain.

The three postulates define the ontology of the theory. The main text treats them as theory-defining inputs, not as derived outputs.

4. Relativistic Continuum Structure

4.1 Capacity budget and continuum symmetry

In the present framework the continuum description is expected to be covariant not because a geometric axiom is added at the outset, but because the substrate itself is finite-capacity, isotropic, and relational.

The first ingredient is a finite maximal update rate, denoted by the same constant c that later appears in the transport relation $D/\tau_0 = c^2$. In the present interpretation, c measures the largest rate at which the substrate can propagate and reorganize information. A defect at rest spends that budget entirely on local temporal evolution. A defect in motion must spend part of the same budget on spatial restructuring of the surrounding network. Because the substrate is isotropic, the cost of motion depends only on the rotational scalar v^2 at leading order, with the temporal rate maximal at $v = 0$ and vanishing when the budget is exhausted at $v = c$. These endpoint conditions alone admit many interpolating functions and so do not fix the form of the time-dilation relation. The form is fixed once the finite update speed is treated as invariant across inertial coarse descriptions: homogeneity, isotropy, and the relativity principle then select the Lorentz group rather than the Galilean one, giving the invariant interval

$$c^2 d\tau^2 = c^2 dt^2 - d\mathbf{x}^2,$$

and hence

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}.$$

The capacity-budget picture supplies the substrate interpretation of this Lorentzian kinematics: motion allocates part of the finite update budget to spatial restructuring, leaving the remaining fraction as proper-time evolution.

The same capacity language also unifies motion-induced and gravity-induced clock slowing. In the nonlinear branch the surviving-capacity fraction is

$$q = \frac{S_{\text{ent}}}{S_{\infty}},$$

so smaller q means that less local update capacity remains available. Motion reduces the temporal share of the budget by consuming part of it in spatial transport; a nearby defect reduces the local budget by depleting available capacity. The two familiar time-dilation effects are therefore interpreted as two regimes of one mechanism.

The second ingredient is the relational character of the substrate. It is not embedded in a prior physical manifold whose coordinate labels carry independent meaning. The physical content is the pattern of local capacities, defects, and neighborhood relations within the network itself. Continuum coordinates are therefore descriptive labels imposed on that relational structure, not additional physical data. Smooth changes of coordinates relabel the same underlying configuration rather than altering the physics. In continuum language this is precisely why the low-energy description should be written in generally covariant form.

The upshot is that the metric sector of the EFT is not being introduced from outside. Lorentzian geometry is the natural coarse description of a finite-capacity, isotropic, relational

substrate, and the Einstein sector is its lowest-order continuum gravitational expression. The entanglement scalar then tracks how that same capacity geometry is redistributed by localized defects. The resulting low-energy theory can therefore be written in the usual covariant language, but the intended logic runs from substrate properties to geometry, not the other way around. As with any discrete substrate, this is a continuum statement: exact Lorentz and diffeomorphism symmetry belong to the coarse theory, while lattice-scale corrections may survive near the UV cutoff.

4.2 Dependency Map of the Theory

The logical flow of the theory can be summarized compactly as

Postulates \rightarrow UV boundary ensemble \rightarrow admissibility closure
 \rightarrow edge kernel \rightarrow finite renormalization \rightarrow continuum matching \rightarrow weak-field EFT
 \rightarrow static observables \rightarrow transport / cosmology /
 strong field / Many-Pasts.

This is a dependency graph, not an epistemic-equality graph. The static weak-field sector, the UV coefficient chain that feeds it, and the operational Born-recovery branch are more tightly closed than the cosmological or strong-field sectors. Part VI makes that difference explicit in a closure-status table.

The remainder of the argument follows this order so that each later result can build on the same coefficient choices and the same field dictionary.

Part II. UV Coefficient Chain

5. Why a Tetrahedral Boundary Ensemble

The microstructural problem is to identify a minimal discrete boundary-cell architecture capable of supporting finite channel entropy, isotropic closure data, and a continuum scalar response. The canonical choice adopted here is a tetrahedral cell with four structural ingredients:

- a tetrahedral volumetric cell;
- half-integer fermionic face data on each face;
- injective face assignment;
- binary orientation/parity.

This package is not presented as the only imaginable UV completion of emergent gravity. It is the minimal architecture used here to support the needed closure properties. The tetrahedron is the minimal volumetric simplex in $d = 3$, injectivity preserves independent boundary information across the four faces, and parity doubling captures the two orientations of the cell. The face-state multiplicity is then not chosen from a menu. Postulate II identifies elementary defects as fermionic, so each face carries half-integer base spin

$$j_0 = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots$$

Two cells sharing a face therefore generate the effective boundary sector

$$j_0 \otimes j_0 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus \dots \oplus 2j_0.$$

Postulate I selects the maximum-capacity boundary channel, so the effective face label is the top channel

$$j_{\text{eff}} = 2j_0,$$

with

$$|M| = 2j_{\text{eff}} + 1 = 4j_0 + 1$$

distinguishable face states. Injectivity across four tetrahedral faces requires at least four distinct labels, so

$$|M| \geq 4 \implies 4j_0 + 1 \geq 4.$$

The only half-integer option below $j_0 = 3/2$ is $j_0 = 1/2$, which gives $j_{\text{eff}} = 1$ and $|M| = 3$, so it fails the injectivity condition. The first fermionic choice that works is therefore

$$j_0 = \frac{3}{2}, \quad j_{\text{eff}} = 3, \quad |M| = 7.$$

In that sense the seven-state face sector is derived from fermionic face data, maximum-capacity channel selection, tetrahedral injectivity, and minimality. Its appearance is fixed before any contact with the weak-field observables it later feeds. The same face-level structure is also where the elementary matter sector enters: fermionic face exclusion creates the binary one-bit defect increment $\Delta S_f = \ln 2$ used later in the electron anchor.

The resulting combinatorial state count is

$$\Omega_{\text{tet}} = 2 \times P(7, 4) = 2 \times 840 = 1680,$$

so the combinatorial sharing ceiling is

$$g_{\text{share, max}} = \ln(1680) \approx 7.427.$$

The exact K^2 spectrum and multiplicities are carried in the appendices. The essential physical point is that the UV theory begins with a finite microscopic counting problem rather than a free continuum ansatz.

This fixes the minimal structural package used in the ultraviolet construction; the supporting derivations are collected in the appendices.

6. Admissibility Closure

6.1 Minimal isotropic kernel

The UV boundary ensemble is not used with a flat weighting. The admissibility family is

$$p_\eta(b) \propto e^{-\eta K^2(b)},$$

where K^2 is the unique leading quadratic closure-defect scalar compatible with tetrahedral symmetry. This choice is not made because it “works” phenomenologically. It is the minimal isotropic maximum-entropy kernel under normalization and fixed quadratic closure moment. Higher invariants such as K^4 would correspond to additional UV information and therefore to subleading refinements rather than competing leading kernels.

The reason for introducing this weighting is that the raw combinatorial ensemble is too permissive to be the whole UV story. Some boundary configurations are closer to the regular closure pattern expected of a smooth medium, while others are more distorted. The scalar K^2 is the minimal rotationally invariant way to measure that distortion. The admissibility kernel therefore says, in the mildest possible form, that more badly closed configurations should contribute less to the effective coarse ensemble.

6.2 Closure condition and uniqueness

The canonical closure condition is

$$\langle K^2 \rangle_\eta = \frac{3}{2\eta}.$$

This is the self-consistency condition for the admissibility kernel itself. The parameter η sets how strongly the weighting suppresses badly closed configurations, so the ensemble generated by that weighting must in turn exhibit the fluctuation scale that η presumes. In that sense the equation is the entropic analogue of a mean-field fixed-point condition: η is not chosen externally, but fixed by requiring the admissibility kernel to be consistent with its own induced closure fluctuations.

On the exact discrete spectrum this equation has a unique solution,

$$\eta_* = 0.0298668443935.$$

The closed branch is locally stiff: small fractional changes in η produce only small fractional changes in the downstream effective sharing entropy.

6.3 Effective sharing entropy

The admissibility-weighted effective sharing entropy is

$$g_{\text{share,eff}} = 7.41980002357.$$

The gap between $g_{\text{share,max}}$ and $g_{\text{share,eff}}$ is therefore not loss imposed by hand. It is the difference between the raw combinatorial ceiling and the admissibility-closed effective boundary entropy that actually propagates into observable couplings.

This distinction is one of the conceptual pivots of the theory. The continuum description does not inherit the naive channel-counting ceiling; it inherits the portion of the channel space that survives after closure is imposed. The downstream couplings should therefore be read as consequences of admissibility-closed sharing, not of raw combinatorics alone.

At this stage the effective sharing entropy is no longer a free choice. The exact spectrum, multiplicities, uniqueness proof, and stiffness numerics are preserved in the appendices for reference.

7. Edge Kernel and Tree-Level Coupling

The same UV closure data fix the tree-level edge kernel. The geometric bridge is the tetrahedral identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_i^\top = \frac{4}{3} I_3,$$

which implies a channel-averaged transverse fraction of $2/3$. This gives the bare edge smoothness coupling

$$J_{\text{bare}} = \frac{2}{3} \eta_*.$$

The interpretation of J_{bare} is straightforward: it is the cost assigned to mismatch between the occupancy variables of two neighboring coarse cells. If adjacent cells disagree strongly, the edge pays a larger penalty; if they agree, the penalty is small. The factor $2/3$ is the geometric fraction that survives after averaging the tetrahedral channels into the isotropic continuum limit.

For a $z = 4$ regular coarse adjacency graph, the tree-to-lattice reduction then yields

$$J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}} = \frac{J_{\text{bare}}}{3} = \frac{2\eta_*}{9}.$$

The division by 3 comes from the branching geometry of the rooted $z = 4$ graph. One neighboring link points back toward the source, while the remaining $z - 1 = 3$ links carry the forward transport into the tree. Thus $J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}$ is not simply the microscopic edge penalty itself, but the part of that penalty that survives as net long-range transport after the local branching structure is taken into account.

Origin of the horizon target. The horizon target

$$\sigma_* = \frac{\pi}{g_{\text{share,eff}}}$$

is the closure-consistency value required by the horizon-normalized field convention. In the admissibility-closed boundary ensemble, one active microscopic sharing unit carries effective entropy $g_{\text{share,eff}}$. In the continuum normalization used for the weak-field scalar, the occupancy variable is normalized by

$$S = \pi Q_{\text{occ}},$$

so a coarse horizon-normalized channel with occupancy $Q_{\text{occ}} = 1$ carries entropy π in the S -field convention. If σ_* denotes the asymptotic conditional-independence weight seen by the rooted shell hierarchy (Appendix B.3), consistency between the boundary entropy count and the horizon-normalized continuum field requires

$$\sigma_* g_{\text{share,eff}} = \pi,$$

and therefore

$$\sigma_* = \frac{\pi}{g_{\text{share,eff}}} = 0.42340665 \dots$$

The role of σ_* is to match the admissibility-closed microscopic entropy normalization to the horizon-normalized scalar-field convention; it is the closure target fixed by that choice of branch. The rooted shell observable converges to that target rapidly enough that the nonlocal correction is already strongly constrained by small shell depth.

The story is no longer just one of state counting. The edge kernel measures how costly it is for neighboring coarse cells to disagree in local occupancy. The tetrahedral identity is what makes this bridge controlled: it is the statement that the four discrete channel directions average to the correct isotropic tensor structure in the continuum limit.

Tree-level edge transport is fixed by the same microscopic data that fixed the admissibility closure. The shell hierarchy and phase-selection checks are preserved in Appendix C.

8. Finite-Loop Renormalization

Tree level is not the whole UV story. The full lattice admits local closed-return motifs that recycle part of the transmitted information before it contributes to net coarse transport. The leading correction is organized as a local Dyson self-energy dressing,

$$J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})} = \frac{J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}}{1 + J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}} \Sigma_{\text{ret}}}.$$

The need for this step is physically straightforward. A purely tree-like transmission rule would let the relevant amplitude move outward once and never locally return. A real coarse graph is

not that simple. Some of the transmitted information cycles back through short closed motifs before contributing to long-distance transport. The renormalized coupling is therefore the true stiffness felt by the coarse field after these local returns have been resummed.

The structural decomposition is the key result. There are seven sector-diagonal local returns, together with one permutation-symmetric shared closure-singlet. The singlet is weighted by the same transverse projection and branch dilution factors that define the tree edge map,

$$\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{2}{9},$$

so the leading local self-energy is

$$\Sigma_{\text{ret}} = 7 + \frac{2}{9} = \frac{65}{9}.$$

Equivalently, on the seven-channel scalar return space,

$$R_{\text{ret}} = I_7 + \frac{2}{9}P_{\text{sing}}, \quad P_{\text{sing}} = |u\rangle\langle u|, \quad u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}(1, \dots, 1),$$

and $\Sigma_{\text{ret}} = \text{Tr}(R_{\text{ret}})$. The orthogonal six-dimensional sum-zero sector carries no net scalar charge in the coarse branch and therefore does not add a separate scalar return. Information sent along an edge need not simply move outward once and for all. Some of it can circulate through short local loops before contributing to coarse transport. The seven sector-diagonal returns are the seven face-label channels that return independently without mixing. In addition there is one collective mode, symmetric across channels, that returns as a shared closure-singlet rather than as a channel-specific loop. The self-energy is therefore not a generic loop number but a sum of seven independent return channels plus one shared mode weighted by the same projection and branching factors already present in the tree map. Hence

$$c_{\text{loop}}^{(\text{ren})} \equiv \frac{J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}}{J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}} = \frac{1}{1 + J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}\Sigma_{\text{ret}}} \approx 0.95426,$$

and

$$J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})} \approx 0.00633348.$$

This reproduces the shell-target crossing near $J_{\text{bare,cross}} \sim 0.019$ at the 0.05% level.

What matters here is that the loop correction is no longer schematic. The finite renormalization is written as an explicit local self-energy. The remaining audit task is independent graph-level confirmation of the same scalar-return operator, not the introduction of any new loop parameter.

9. Continuum Stiffness and SI Normalization

The last UV step is not a thermodynamic one. The lattice quadratic form is interpreted as a Euclidean action weight,

$$\frac{I_E}{\hbar} = \frac{J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}}{2} \sum_{a,i} (Q_a - Q_{a+L_*\hat{n}_i})^2,$$

where the sum runs over all sites a and all four outgoing nearest-neighbor directions \hat{n}_i , so each nearest-neighbor edge is counted twice. The microscopic four-cell has size

$$\Delta V_4 = \frac{L_*^4}{c}.$$

Up to this point the derivation has determined a dimensionless lattice weighting. The continuum EFT, however, needs a dimensionful coefficient multiplying derivatives of a field in spacetime. The Euclidean-action interpretation is what upgrades the lattice closure data into a continuum action density with the right units and the right covariant target.

The same tetrahedral identity used in the edge-kernel reduction then yields the continuum coefficient for the occupancy field Q_{occ} ,

$$\gamma_Q = \frac{4\hbar c}{3L_*^2} J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}.$$

Here L_* is the canonical tetrahedral spacing, with one coarse cell carrying volume L_*^3 up to the fixed cell-shape convention, and $J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}$ is the loop-dressed edge coupling. The numerical factor $4/3$ is the isotropic projection

$$\sum_i \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_i^T = \frac{4}{3} I_3$$

that turns the tetrahedral edge directions into the continuum gradient tensor.

The field normalization is fixed by horizon capacity:

$$S = \pi Q_{\text{occ}}.$$

Therefore the canonical EFT coefficient in the $\frac{\gamma}{2}(\partial S)^2$ convention is

$$\gamma = \frac{4\hbar c}{3\pi^2 L_*^2} J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}.$$

The faithful sector-resolution principle fixes L_* without using G . It is nevertheless useful to define the gravitational scale induced by this length,

$$G_* := \frac{c^3 L_*^2}{\hbar}.$$

Then the stiffness may be written in Einstein-normalized form as

$$\gamma = \frac{4J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}}{3\pi^2} \frac{c^4}{G_*}.$$

This is the same algebra as the familiar Planck-cell rewrite, but read in the opposite direction: the substrate cell length induces the gravitational scale rather than being chosen by first inserting the measured value of G . Within the Euclidean-action convention already assumed by the EFT, the SI-normalized weak-field stiffness coefficient is fixed rather than left schematic.

At a high level, Part II has now completed the micro-to-continuum coefficient story. The tetrahedral ensemble determines the effective sharing entropy, the edge kernel and loop dressing turn that entropy data into a discrete stiffness, and the Euclidean matching turns the discrete stiffness into the continuum coefficient γ that appears in the weak-field EFT.

Closed UV-to-IR chain. The UV coefficient chain can now be summarized as

$$\{\Omega_{\text{tet}}, K^2, \eta_*, g_{\text{share,eff}}, L_*, J_{\text{bare}}, J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}, \Sigma_{\text{ret}}, J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}, \gamma\} \longrightarrow \{\kappa, G, a_0, g_{\text{obs}}(g_{\text{bar}})\}.$$

The first bracket is the micro-to-continuum closure chain; the second bracket collects the weak-field observables it feeds. The rest of the manuscript uses this chain rather than introducing independent weak-field coefficients.

With that normalization in place, the stiffness chain runs from the discrete ensemble to the weak-field EFT without an extra coefficient choice. The remaining microscopic question is independent confirmation of the same action-kernel interpretation from fuller inhomogeneous dynamics, not an unresolved normalization constant.

Part III. Weak-Field EFT and Static Phenomenology

10. Covariant Action

With that continuum symmetry structure in place, the canonical weak-field EFT takes the covariant form

$$I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{c^4}{16\pi G} R - \frac{\gamma}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu S_{\text{ent}} \partial_\nu S_{\text{ent}} - \lambda S_{\text{ent}} - \kappa \chi S_{\text{ent}} \right],$$

with

$$\chi(x) \equiv -\frac{T^\mu{}_\mu}{c^2}.$$

The action should be read as the simplest weak-field continuum realization of the ontology already stated in Part I and the coefficient chain already derived in Part II. The metric sector remains the familiar Einstein one at low energy, but it is now interpreted as the continuum capacity geometry of the substrate rather than as an independent starting theory. It is coupled to a scalar field that tracks available entanglement capacity and to a source channel written in ordinary stress-energy notation while still being interpreted microscopically as the defect sector of the same medium.

At the EFT level χ is written in ordinary stress-energy language, but ontologically it is the coarse trace channel of the localized defect sector. Here γ is the continuum stiffness fixed by the UV chain, while κ is the defect–entropy coupling fixed by the canonical source map,

$$\kappa = \frac{\Xi_\rho}{L_*^2 \kappa_m(L_*)},$$

and λ controls the background branch. The source map is determined by matching the scalar mode on the lattice and in the continuum. Appendix C fixes the rigid defect amplitude through the isotropic defect benchmark $\Delta S_{\text{def}} = \ln(7/6)$ and the exact tetrahedral on-site Green constant $G_{\text{tet}}(0) = 0.448220394\dots$. With defect-entropy density

$$\sigma_{\text{def}} = \frac{\rho}{\kappa_m(L_*)},$$

the Green-matched continuum projection is

$$\nabla^2 \delta S = -\frac{3L_*}{4G_{\text{tet}}(0)} \sigma_{\text{def}},$$

and comparison with $\nabla^2 \delta S = -(\kappa/\gamma)\rho$ gives

$$\frac{\kappa}{\gamma} = \frac{3L_*}{4G_{\text{tet}}(0)\kappa_m(L_*)}.$$

This is the source-side counterpart of the stiffness derivation: the same tetrahedral scalar mode that carries the edge stiffness is the mode sourced by localized mass defects. The fixed-epoch normalization against S_∞ still enters the final gravity dictionary, but it is not an additional source freedom; S_∞ and κ rescale together under a change of entropy units, while $\kappa/(\gamma S_\infty)$ is invariant. Local weak-field dynamics are studied in the renormalized branch

$$\lambda_{\text{ren}} \equiv \lambda + \gamma \square S_{\text{bg}} = 0,$$

so that local perturbations are sourced only by the defect sector, written at continuum level in ordinary matter variables.

The Einstein–Hilbert coefficient is written here in the already-matched Einstein normalization of the weak-field EFT. This is the metric normalization in which weak-field gravity is observed, not a separate microscopic parameter added on top of the entanglement chain.

Three roles of G in the manuscript. There are three distinct uses of G in what follows, and it is useful to keep them separate. First, the weak-field metric normalization gives the identity

$$G = \frac{c^2 \kappa}{8\pi \gamma S_\infty}.$$

This is the gravitational scale implied by the scalar source coupling, scalar stiffness, and capacity-to-lapse bridge. The second use is the non-gravitational scale-setting route. The faithful sector-resolution principle fixes

$$L_* = \frac{3}{2} \lambda_e e^{-7g_{\text{share,eff}}}, \quad \lambda_e = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c},$$

and therefore induces

$$G_* = \frac{c^3 L_*^2}{\hbar} = \frac{9}{4} \frac{\hbar c}{m_e^2} e^{-14g_{\text{share,eff}}}.$$

The electron anchor enters this route through the reduced Compton length and through the identification of the electron as the lightest one-bit fermionic defect, while the mass–entropy anchor still fixes $\kappa_m(\lambda_e) = m_e / \ln 2$ for the source map. Third, formulas involving the conventional Planck length,

$$L_P = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}},$$

are matched representations after the gravitational scale has been identified. They are useful for compactness, comparison, and black-hole thermodynamic notation, but the scale-setting argument runs through L_* , not through a Planck-cell rewriting.

The claim, then, is that the weak-field gravitational normalization is overconstrained: the same substrate that fixes the scalar stiffness also fixes a non-gravitational cell scale, while the scalar bridge and Green-matched source map give the observed weak-field normalization through the invariant ratio $\kappa/(\gamma S_\infty)$. Faithful sector resolution derives G_* as the gravitational scale induced by the substrate length. The matched weak-field bridge reads

$$G = \frac{c^2}{8\pi} \frac{\kappa}{\gamma S_\infty},$$

so the numerical comparison between G and G_* is a genuine cross-check of the shared substrate normalization, not a separate opportunity to tune the source strength. The further microscopic task is to derive the faithful sector-resolution principle from a deeper Hamiltonian. This is the simplest covariant realization of the closure chain: one metric, one scalar entanglement field, one trace-equivalent defect-source channel, and one renormalized background branch.

The logical order matters. Once this weak-field covariant form is accepted as the correct low-energy language of the substrate, the earlier UV closure chain fixes the entanglement-side coefficients that appear in it; the terms in the action are then read off from that chain, not chosen term by term to fit phenomenology.

The weak-field action used below is now fully written.

11. Field Equations and Bridge Law

Varying the action with respect to S_{ent} gives the sourced scalar equation

$$\gamma \square S_{\text{ent}} = \lambda + \kappa \chi.$$

Varying with respect to the metric yields

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left(T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{matter})} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{ent})} \right),$$

with the canonical scalar stress-energy induced by the entanglement sector.

These two equations separate the two jobs played by the scalar. The scalar equation tells us how the local entanglement-capacity variable responds to defect sources. The metric equation tells us how that scalar response then contributes back to spacetime curvature. The bridge law below is what turns those two statements into an ordinary weak-field gravitational potential.

The weak-field bridge law is not inserted as an arbitrary interpolation. It is the normalization map between fractional capacity depletion and the weak-field lapse. Let

$$\epsilon(x) \equiv \frac{\delta S(x)}{S_\infty}.$$

Vacuum normalization requires the lapse to approach its asymptotic value when $\epsilon = 0$. Locality requires the leading metric response to depend on the local fractional deficit. Independent small deficits must add at leading order, while independent redshift factors multiply. These requirements force the lapse to take exponential form,

$$N(\epsilon) = \exp[-C\epsilon],$$

with a single constant C . The standard weak-field metric normalization,

$$N = 1 + \frac{\Phi}{c^2} + O(\Phi^2/c^4),$$

fixes $C = 1/2$. Hence

$$N = \exp\left[-\frac{\delta S}{2S_\infty}\right] = 1 - \frac{\delta S}{2S_\infty} + O(\delta S^2/S_\infty^2),$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\Phi}{c^2} = -\frac{\delta S}{2S_\infty}.$$

In the static weak-field branch the emergent Newton constant is therefore

$$G = \frac{c^2 \kappa}{8\pi \gamma S_\infty}.$$

This bridge law is where the derivation stops speaking only in the language of entropy variables and starts speaking directly in the language of observable gravity. Without it the theory would remain a scalar model with an entanglement interpretation. With it, the deficit field acquires a unique weak-field normalization in terms of the ordinary gravitational potential.

With this bridge in place, the weak-field continuum dictionary is complete.

12. Newtonian Gravity and the Point-Source Limit

In the renormalized static weak-field sector the scalar equation reduces to

$$\nabla^2 \delta S = -\frac{\kappa}{\gamma} \rho.$$

This is the point where the micro-to-macro chain becomes operationally familiar. Once the background is renormalized away and the source is nonrelativistic, the scalar sector obeys an ordinary Poisson equation for the deficit field. The unusual quantity is δS , but the mathematical structure is the same one that underlies standard weak-field gravity.

For a point source M ,

$$\delta S(r) = \frac{\kappa M}{4\pi\gamma r}.$$

Using the bridge law,

$$\frac{\Phi}{c^2} = -\frac{\delta S}{2S_\infty},$$

the gravitational acceleration becomes

$$g(r) = \frac{c^2\kappa}{8\pi\gamma S_\infty} \frac{M}{r^2} = \frac{GM}{r^2}.$$

Thus Newtonian gravity is recovered as the weak-field response of the entanglement-capacity medium.

Nothing qualitatively exotic has to be inserted at the last step to recover ordinary gravity. The same sourced scalar equation and the same bridge law already imply the familiar point-mass force law. In that sense Newtonian gravity appears here not as a starting axiom but as the first infrared limit of the entanglement medium.

Interpretation. Ordinary gravity is the small-deficit, weak-curvature limit of the extended entanglement restructuring around localized defects. The Newtonian $1/r^2$ law is therefore emergent, not fundamental.

Once the bridge law, Green-matched source projection, and UV stiffness are fixed, the Newtonian limit is closed.

13. Electron Anchor and the Mass–Entropy Relation

The mass–entropy relation requires a clean elementary anchor because, in this framework, the elementary matter sector is the localized defect sector itself. For a single fermionic face-exclusion defect the canonical increment is

$$\Delta S_f = \ln 2.$$

The physical content is that a single excluded face is a binary occupied/unoccupied topological defect and therefore carries exactly one bit of missing entanglement. At the electron Compton scale $\ell = \lambda_e$ the mass–entropy map gives

$$\kappa_m(\lambda_e) = \frac{m_e}{\ln 2}.$$

This is the first step of the anchor logic. If the elementary fermionic defect carries $\Delta S_f = \ln 2$, then dividing the electron mass by that fixed entropy increment gives the mass-per-entropy conversion at the electron’s own scale. The electron is the cleanest place to do this because it is the lightest simple fermionic defect and is not obscured by hadronic compositeness.

The UV normalization is

$$\kappa_{m,\text{UV}} = \frac{\hbar}{cL_*} \frac{1}{\ln 2},$$

and the canonical running law is

$$\kappa_m(\ell) = \kappa_{m,\text{UV}} \left(\frac{L_*}{\ell} \right)^{1+\alpha_{\text{cl}}}, \quad \alpha_{\text{cl}} = 0$$

in the closed branch. The electron sector supplies a sharp anchor for the mass–entropy map and a fixed-normalization input to the source projection. The next step is then to run that

conversion back to the UV scale. The quantity $\kappa_{m,\text{UV}}$ is the fundamental mass-per-entropy conversion attached to the cutoff cell itself, and the running law tells us how that conversion appears at longer physical scales. So the logic of the section is: one bit fixes the electron-scale conversion, the running law connects the electron scale back to the UV scale, and the same conversion then feeds the weak-field normalization chain.

The same electron also supplies the non-gravitational length anchor used in faithful sector resolution. Its reduced Compton wavelength λ_e fixes the absolute cell length L_* through the seven-sector history-space dressing relation in Appendix D, while its mass m_e fixes the mass-per-entropy map through $\kappa_m(\lambda_e) = m_e/\ln 2$. This does not mean that an electron is a single tetrahedral cell carrying seven simultaneous labels. The local tetrahedral ensemble supplies the seven-sector alphabet; the electron is the lightest coherent one-bit defect whose ground-state dressing resolves those sectors once and exports the transverse share of the resulting support. These are distinct uses of the same elementary defect: the Compton scale calibrates the substrate length hierarchy, and the one-bit mass anchor calibrates the source map. Together they overconstrain the role of the electron in the weak-field gravitational normalization rather than duplicating the same input twice.

For composite hadrons the claim is different. The relevant quantity is the dressed vacuum-subtracted bound-state entropy,

$$m_{\text{hadron}} = \kappa_m(\ell_H) S_{\text{ent},H}^{\text{dressed}},$$

with the dressed entropy budget generated by confinement, gluonic structure, trace-anomaly dynamics, and chiral vacuum reorganization. A finished lattice derivation of that dressed entropy is not yet available. What is claimed here is structural compatibility between the mass-entropy map and the standard QCD mass budget.

The elementary-fermion anchor is settled in the simple sectors, while the hadronic sector remains structurally compatible but not yet fully coefficient-complete.

14. Galactic Dynamics

The galactic sector is one of the main payoffs of the coefficient chain. Its characteristic acceleration scale, the radial-acceleration relation, and the deep-MOND limit all follow from one chain that uses no per-galaxy interpolation function. The chain has three structural inputs: a stable bosonic vacuum mode (Appendix D.6), the Gibbons–Hawking thermal structure of that vacuum at the apparent horizon (Appendix E), and the $1 + 2$ channel decomposition that places the cosmic horizon scale in the transverse sector.

Vacuum-state origin of the Bose–Einstein occupancy. The Bose–Einstein statistics in the galactic mode sector follow from the vacuum-state thermality of the entanglement field at the apparent horizon, with the cosmological boundary normalization fixed in Appendix E. The mechanism is therefore equilibrium horizon thermality, not dynamical thermalization.

Appendix D.6 establishes that δS fluctuations around the on-shell background constitute a massless bosonic scalar at quadratic order with positive kinetic stiffness $\gamma > 0$. For a massless bosonic scalar in a spacetime with apparent horizon R_A , the vacuum state restricted to the static patch is thermal at the de Sitter / apparent-horizon temperature

$$k_B T_H = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi R_A},$$

with mode occupancy $n_B(\epsilon) = 1/(e^{\epsilon/k_B T_H} - 1)$. This is a Gibbons–Hawking statement of QFT in curved spacetime, fixed by the same horizon geometry that sets S_∞ .

It is useful to write a horizon temperature as an acceleration scale,

$$a_T \equiv \frac{2\pi c}{\hbar} k_B T.$$

For the apparent-horizon vacuum this gives

$$a_T = \frac{c^2}{R_A} \equiv a_H.$$

In the closed transport branch, $R_A^{-1} = H_0/c$ at the present epoch (from §17 with $\tau_0^{-1} = H_0$), so

$$a_H = \frac{c^2}{R_A} = cH_0.$$

The acceleration scale defined by horizon thermality is therefore fixed by the same closure chain that fixes S_∞ . More generally $a_H(t) = cH(t)$ at any cosmological epoch, so the horizon thermal scale is epoch-dependent. The present-epoch value cH_0 is the one relevant to the local ($z \approx 0$) galactic RAR.

The MOND scale a_0 is related to a_H by the microstructural sharing factor that connects $g_{\text{share,eff}}$ to phase-space transverse-mode density in the $1 + 2$ channel decomposition:

$$a_0 = \frac{g_{\text{share,eff}}}{4\pi^2} a_H = \frac{cH_0 g_{\text{share,eff}}}{4\pi^2}.$$

Hence a_0 is the horizon thermal acceleration weighted by the admissibility-weighted sharing entropy in the $1 + 2$ channel decomposition. The factor $g_{\text{share,eff}}/(4\pi^2)$ separates as $g_{\text{share,eff}}$ from microstate sharing and $(2\pi)^2$ from the two transverse Fourier directions, so the transverse reference a_0 and the horizon thermal acceleration cH_0 share a single origin in the closed branch.

1 + 2 channel decomposition and the radial-acceleration law. The longitudinal acceleration scale is g_{bar} and the transverse vacuum reference scale is a_0 . For a longitudinally forced isotropic Gaussian transverse sector with longitudinal forcing amplitude $f_{\parallel} \propto g_{\text{bar}}$ and transverse vacuum variance $\sigma_{\perp}^2 \propto a_0$, the cross-coupling response at quadratic order is the geometric mean of the two scales: the response kernel is symmetric under longitudinal–transverse exchange at the cross-coupling order, so the cross-scale amplitude is

$$a_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{g_{\text{bar}} a_0}.$$

The dimensionless bosonic occupancy argument is therefore

$$x = \frac{a_{\text{eff}}}{a_0} = \sqrt{\frac{g_{\text{bar}}}{a_0}}.$$

When $g_{\text{bar}} \gg a_0$ the system reduces to the ordinary Newtonian branch; when $g_{\text{bar}} \ll a_0$ the response crosses into the low-acceleration completion.

The resulting radial-acceleration law is

$$g_{\text{obs}} = g_{\text{bar}} (1 + n_B(x)) = \frac{g_{\text{bar}}}{1 - \exp\left(-\sqrt{g_{\text{bar}}/a_0}\right)},$$

with the asymptotic limits

$$g_{\text{bar}} \gg a_0 \implies g_{\text{obs}} \approx g_{\text{bar}}, \tag{10}$$

$$g_{\text{bar}} \ll a_0 \implies g_{\text{obs}} \approx \sqrt{a_0 g_{\text{bar}}}. \tag{11}$$

The deep-MOND branch gives the baryonic Tully–Fisher law

$$v^4 \approx a_0 G M_b.$$

The law expresses vacuum-state mode occupancy at the apparent-horizon temperature. Departures from it would require either (a) an excitation mechanism beyond the vacuum, supplied by the causal nonequilibrium transport sector of Appendix E for mergers and transients, or (b) a different transverse reference, which would require modifying the cosmological boundary normalization that fixes a_H . Neither route belongs to the closed stationary weak-field branch; both would be treated as extensions or departures from it.

The galactic branch is therefore fixed by the same channel-identification structure already used elsewhere in the weak-field EFT, with the radial-acceleration law read off from one chain rather than interpolated per galaxy.

15. Lensing, PPN, and Weak-Field Consistency

Because the entanglement sector is scalar, it does not generate anisotropic stress at leading weak-field order. The scalar anisotropic stress is quadratic in gradients, schematically $\partial_i S \partial_j S = O(\Phi^2/c^4)$, so it enters beyond the linear branch. Hence

$$\Phi = \Psi$$

to the order treated in the present EFT. This means that light bending and dynamical mass estimates are sourced by the same leading metric response. In effective-halo language, the entanglement response can be rewritten as

$$\rho_{\text{halo}}(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi G r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 (g_{\text{obs}} - g_{\text{bar}}) \right],$$

which yields the familiar $1/r^2$ outer-halo profile in the asymptotic branch.

This matters because a theory can match galactic rotation curves and still fail lensing if the two metric potentials slip apart. The weak-field branch here avoids that problem at leading order. The same response that governs the dynamics also governs light deflection, so the theory is not buying galactic support at the price of a leading weak-field inconsistency. Solar-system constraints such as Cassini require any PPN slip to remain at the $\sim 10^{-5}$ level, consistent with the no-slip structure of the linear branch.

The same weak-field structure also returns the GR post-Newtonian values at the order treated. At leading post-Newtonian order,

$$\gamma_{\text{PPN}} = \beta_{\text{PPN}} = 1.$$

Scalar-induced corrections to the slip $\Phi - \Psi$ enter only at quadratic weak-field order, schematically $O(\Phi^2/c^4)$. Thus the leading weak-field EFT does not purchase galactic phenomenology by introducing gravitational slip or obvious solar-system-scale pathologies.

At leading weak-field order this sector is closed. Higher-order precision confrontation remains an audit task rather than an architectural gap.

Part IV. Time-Dependent, Transport, and Cosmological Sectors

16. Why Dynamics Requires Extension Beyond the Static Branch

The static weak-field branch is not the whole theory. If the entanglement-capacity medium is physical, it must admit relaxation, propagation, and causal response to changing sources. The

time-dependent sector should therefore not be read as an optional add-on. It is the natural dynamical extension of the same medium that produces the static weak-field EFT.

This section is only a bridge into the dynamical sectors; no independent closure claim is being made here.

17. Causal Transport and Telegrapher Dynamics

The canonical time-dependent completion is most cleanly written relative to the substrate four-velocity u^μ :

$$\tau_0(u^\mu \nabla_\mu)^2 \delta S + u^\mu \nabla_\mu \delta S = D h^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta S + A \chi, \quad h^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu.$$

The vector u^μ is the local rest frame of the entanglement-capacity medium, not an additional ad hoc force carrier. In that frame, $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, the equation reduces to the familiar telegrapher form

$$\tau_0 \partial_t^2 \delta S + \partial_t \delta S = D \nabla^2 \delta S + A \chi(x, t),$$

with static-matching condition

$$\frac{A}{D} = \frac{\kappa}{\gamma}.$$

This equation is introduced because a physical medium should not respond instantaneously to changing sources. The static Poisson equation is appropriate when the source has already settled, but once sources evolve in time one needs both propagation and relaxation. The telegrapher form is the minimal causal extension that still reduces to the static branch when time dependence becomes negligible.

Causality requires

$$\frac{D}{\tau_0} = c^2,$$

so the transport sector propagates disturbances at finite speed. In the canonical no-new-IR-scale branch,

$$\tau_0^{-1} = H_0, \quad D = \frac{c^2}{H_0}.$$

This transport equation separates two roles that must remain distinct. Ordinary galactic support belongs to the near-stationary static branch. The telegrapher sector governs how the same medium propagates, relaxes, and develops lag when sources evolve in time. It is therefore not used to generate ordinary static galactic support; it governs transport, lag, relaxation, and merger phenomenology around the near-stationary weak-field branch.

For galactic modes the Appendix E analysis shows that the long relaxation time does not destroy the static limit. Galactic modes lie deep in the underdamped regime, so the static Poisson branch is recovered as the exact time average relevant to ordinary galactic dynamics. The assumption here is that the source is quasi-static on galactic timescales and supported on wavelengths far shorter than the critical scale $\lambda_c \sim 4\pi c/H_0$; under those conditions the oscillatory transient averages out instead of competing with the static branch.

The static branch still handles the ordinary galactic law. The transport equation describes what happens when the source history is no longer quasi-static: propagation delay, relaxation, and merger-era lag.

The transport branch is closed at the level of $D/\tau_0 = c^2$ and the preferred choice $\tau_0^{-1} = H_0$. Detailed merger phenomenology remains frontier.

18. Cosmology and the Hubble-Tension Sector

The cosmological sector should be read as the homogeneous continuation of the same scalar medium, not as an unrelated dark-energy add-on bolted onto the weak-field theory. What changes here is not the ontology but the kinematic regime: the background mode becomes dynamically relevant on horizon scales while the local weak-field branch remains encoded in the inhomogeneous fluctuations.

The cosmological sector uses the same field split,

$$S(x, t) = \bar{S}(t) + s(x, t),$$

where $\bar{S}(t)$ is the homogeneous mode and $s(x, t)$ the inhomogeneous sector responsible for local weak-field dynamics. The vacuum baseline is fixed by apparent-horizon capacity,

$$S_\infty(t) = \pi \frac{R_A(t)^2}{L_*^2}.$$

This is the horizon-normalized representation of the same entropy field used locally. It is compatible with the cell-normalized source theorem because local observables depend on $\delta S/S_\infty$ and $\kappa/(\gamma S_\infty)$ rather than on an absolute entropy unit.

This decomposition is essential. The homogeneous mode and the local weak-field fluctuations are not two unrelated scalar fields. They are two kinematic sectors of the same field. The split lets the background mode change cosmological evolution without automatically rewriting the local weak-field equations that already fixed the galactic phenomenology.

Because the entanglement field couples to the trace of the stress-energy tensor, the homogeneous mode is largely dormant during radiation domination but becomes active near matter–radiation equality. This gives a transient early-energy component of the same general type used in early-dark-energy resolutions of the Hubble tension. In the closed cosmological branch treated here, the effect reduces the sound horizon and shifts the CMB-inferred Hubble constant upward from the high-67 range toward the high-68 to low-69 range.

What matters here is not just the direction of the shift but the timing. A successful Hubble-tension mechanism must turn on near the right epoch, alter the sound horizon in the right direction, and then decouple cleanly enough from the local weak-field sector that the galactic branch is not spoiled. The entanglement medium has exactly that qualitative structure.

The local weak-field predictions are protected by the separation between $\bar{S}(t)$ and $s(x, t)$. This is the role of the shear-lock logic: changing the homogeneous background mode does not rewrite the local static Poisson branch that governs galactic dynamics and lensing.

The claim is therefore a mechanism with the right direction, timing, and qualitative separation of scales, not a finished precision cosmology package. What is shown is that the trace-coupled homogeneous mode turns on in the relevant epoch and pushes the sound horizon in the required direction; what remains open is the full perturbation propagation and likelihood-level confrontation. The homogeneous mode modifies the background history; the inhomogeneous branch continues to govern the local weak-field observables already fixed earlier in the derivation. That separation is what allows the cosmological extension to remain part of the same scalar medium rather than a re-tuning of the galactic sector.

This is a structurally supported and directionally successful extension, but it is not yet Boltzmann-closed.

Part V. Nonlinear, Interpretive, and Completion Sectors

19. Why These Sectors Belong

The most directly constrained micro-to-weak-field chain is now in hand. The next sectors develop three further pieces required for overall framework completeness: nonlinear completion, operational quantum reduction, and candidate underlying dynamics. They belong to the same ontology, but they should not be read as resting on identical evidence.

20. Strong-Field Branch and Bounded Occupancy

The strong-field branch begins with the same variable that already appeared in the weak-field bridge, but it uses the form in which boundedness is explicit:

$$q(x) = \frac{S_{\text{ent}}(x)}{S_{\infty}} \in [0, 1].$$

The physical meaning is direct: $q = 1$ is undepleted vacuum capacity, $0 < q < 1$ is a partially depleted region, and $q = 0$ is complete local exhaustion of continuum-defining capacity. The strong-field question is therefore not how to continue the weak-field scalar after it becomes large, but how to write the continuum theory on the domain where the capacity variable remains physically allowed.

The local lapse associated with the static asymptotic time must be a function of surviving capacity. If

$$N^2 = f(q),$$

then vacuum normalization gives $f(1) = 1$, horizon normalization gives $f(0) = 0$, the weak-field bridge gives $f'(1) = 1$, and serial composition of independent capacity-reduction layers gives

$$f(q_1 q_2) = f(q_1) f(q_2).$$

The continuous solutions are $f(q) = q^{\alpha}$, and weak-field matching fixes $\alpha = 1$. Thus

$$N^2 = q$$

is the unique continuous multiplicative completion compatible with the weak-field branch. This is a stronger statement than a useful ansatz: once the capacity variable is adopted, the static lapse rule is fixed.

The constrained-capacity theory is then posed on the physical domain

$$\mathcal{M}_q = \{x \mid q(x) > 0\},$$

with a Lagrange multiplier enforcing $N^2 = q$. In bulk vacuum, away from the $q = 0$ boundary and with no explicit matter source, the multiplier vanishes and the equations reduce to the ordinary vacuum Einstein equations on \mathcal{M}_q . The difference from GR is not in the exterior vacuum equations; it is in the physical domain on which those equations are allowed to live and in the boundary condition at capacity exhaustion.

Consequently the static spherical asymptotically flat vacuum branch is the Schwarzschild exterior,

$$q(r) = N^2(r) = 1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}, \quad r > r_h = \frac{2GM}{c^2}.$$

The continuum branch terminates at $q = 0$. A real continuation of this static branch to $r < r_h$ would require $q < 0$, which is outside the state space of the capacity variable. The classical Schwarzschild interior is therefore not interpreted as a physical continuation of the same

entanglement-capacity EFT. It is a formal continuation of the GR manifold beyond the point where the substrate has no remaining local continuum channels.

This preserves the tested exterior physics. The near-horizon Euclidean regularity argument gives the usual Hawking temperature, and exterior perturbation theory gives the usual absorption and ringdown provided the $q = 0$ boundary is effectively absorbing. Echoes are not a generic prediction; they require finite microscopic reflectivity or a stretched layer $q = \epsilon > 0$ outside the capacity-exhaustion surface. The entropy story also separates cleanly: the continuum exterior saddle gives the usual Bekenstein–Hawking area law, while the coefficient $1/4$ is recovered by the boundary channel-counting rule built from the exact local graph response. What remains open is the microscopic Hamiltonian derivation of that boundary rule and the dynamical formation of the saturated surface.

The strong-field branch is therefore sharper than a mere kinematic horizon criterion but still not a finished collapse theory. The static spherical exterior closes within the constrained-capacity EFT, and the static area coefficient closes under the boundary channel-counting rule. The open problems are the graph-derived horizon boundary Hamiltonian, the boundary reflectivity/relaxation spectrum, rotating and charged generalizations, and the bounded causal transport law that forms the $q = 0$ surface dynamically during collapse.

21. Many-Pasts: Operational Reduction and Arrow of Time

Many-Pasts belongs in the full framework because the theory is not only a gravity mechanism. It is also a proposal about branch realization and temporal asymmetry on the same entropic substrate. Operationally, however, it is deliberately conservative.

With

$$P(H|P) \propto e^{-D(H,P)},$$

the Born rule is recovered exactly because

$$e^{-D(H,P)} = \text{Tr}(\Pi_P \rho_{H \rightarrow \text{now}})$$

in the projective laboratory limit. Exact Born recovery forces $\alpha = 1$, and forbidding any extra signaling-sensitive operational bias channel forces $\beta = 0$. No-signaling is preserved exactly in this operational branch.

The remaining content is interpretive and cosmological. The arrow of time is recovered through conditional typicality: among histories consistent with present macroscopic records, overwhelmingly many exhibit entropy growth toward the future direction defined by those records. This adds no new laboratory probability law; it offers a global consistency account of branch realization and temporal asymmetry.

Operational closure is exact in the laboratory sector; the extra content added here is interpretive and cosmological.

22. Candidate Microstructure Hamiltonian and Underlying Dynamics

The UV closure chain should have a microscopic dynamical realization. The candidate developed in the appendices is a GFT/condensate picture in which spacetime emerges from a condensate of discrete tetrahedral building blocks, while what is macroscopically read as matter appears as fermionic defects of that same substrate. In Madelung form,

$$\sigma(x) = \sqrt{n(x)} e^{i\theta(x)},$$

the condensate hydrodynamics generically generate a positive scalar stiffness for the logarithmic-density variable, providing the condensate-side origin of the EFT kinetic term. This is a structural compatibility check, not a replacement for the explicit coefficient closure in Appendix C; it shows that the EFT kinetic term has a natural microscopic origin.

This provides a coherent microscopic realization supporting the UV closure chain, but not yet a first-principles inhomogeneous derivation of every continuum term.

Part VI. Closure Status, Falsifiability, and Research Program

23. Closure-Status Table

The closure bookkeeping is concentrated here in one place so the rest of the text can simply derive, state, and move on.

Quantity / Claim	Sector	Status	Type of Support	Where Established
$\Omega_{\text{tet}}, g_{\text{share,max}}$	UV counting	Closed	exact combinatorics	Part II, App. B
η_*	admissibility closure	Closed	exact K^2 spectrum and multiplicity closure	Part II, App. B
$g_{\text{share,eff}}$	UV entropy	Closed	exact weighted evaluation	Part II, App. B
Substrate length L_*	scale setting	Conditional derivation	faithful seven-sector support relation from λ_e , $g_{\text{share,eff}}$, and $C_{\text{cl}} = 3/2$	Part I, App. D
$J_{\text{bare}}, J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}$	UV edge kernel	Closed	tetrahedral isotropy identity	Part II, App. C
$\Sigma_{\text{ret}} = 65/9$	finite-loop UV	Fixed in the minimal UV return sector	explicit seven-channel plus singlet return count	Part II, App. C
$J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}$	finite-loop UV	Fixed by the UV return resummation	derived from Σ_{ret}	Part II, App. C
γ	continuum stiffness	Closed in canonical EFT convention	Euclidean-action normalization	Part II, App. C
Green-matched source projection	UV source map	Closed in the canonical weak-field branch	exact defect counting + tetrahedral on-site Green function	App. C
κ/γ	source-to-stiffness ratio	Closed in the canonical weak-field branch	$\sigma_{\text{def}} = \rho/\kappa_m(L_*)$ plus $G_{\text{tet}}(0)$ and tetrahedral 4/3 projection	Part III, App. C–D
Weak-field bridge law	EFT / gravity	Closed in canonical weak-field branch	uniqueness from multiplicative composition	Part III, App. D
G_*	gravitational scale	Conditional derivation at percent level	$G_* = (9/4)(\hbar c/m_e^2)e^{-14g_{\text{share,eff}}}$	Part I, App. D
Matched G	weak-field gravity	Closed in the matched weak-field branch	bridge law + Green-matched κ/γ + fixed-epoch normalization of $\kappa/(\gamma S_\infty)$; comparison with G_* is an overconstraint check	Part III, App. C–D
Electron anchor	mass / length sector	Fixed empirical elementary anchor	one-bit fermionic defect supplies λ_e for length setting and $m_e/\ln 2$ for mass–entropy map	Part III, App. D
Faithful sector-resolution principle	UV scale theorem	Primitive support rule with a Hamiltonian audit target	$\lambda_e/L_* = \dim_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{D}_{e,\perp})$ from the seven-sector history-space operator	App. D
a_0	galactic EFT	Fixed in the closed weak-field realization	UV entropy + cosmic scale	Part III, App. C
RAR law	galactic EFT	Fixed in the closed weak-field realization	1 + 2 channel geometry + bosonic occupancy	Part III, App. C
No slip / lensing consistency	weak-field metric	Closed at leading weak-field order	scalar-stress structure	Part III, App. D
PPN leading values	weak-field metric	Structurally supported	weak-field expansion	Part III, App. F

Quantity / Claim	Sector	Status	Type of Support	Where Established
Telegrapher relation $D/\tau_0 = c^2$	transport	Closed in canonical transport branch	causal closure	Part IV, App. E
Canonical $\tau_0^{-1} = H_0$ branch	transport	Fixed in the minimal transport closure	no-new-IR-scale choice	Part IV, App. E
Hubble-tension mechanism	cosmology	Structurally supported extension	homogeneous trace-coupled mode	Part IV, App. E
Bounded capacity q , $N^2 = q$	strong field	Closed as constrained-capacity rule	uniqueness from multiplicative composition and weak-field matching	Part V, App. F
Constrained action on $\mathcal{M}_q = \{q > 0\}$	strong field	Closed within the EFT	ADM action plus lapse-capacity constraint	Part V, App. F
Static spherical exterior	strong field	Closed within the constrained-capacity branch	bulk vacuum reduces to Einstein vacuum on \mathcal{M}_q	Part V, App. F
Capacity-exhaustion horizon $q = 0$	strong field	Closed as static-domain boundary	Schwarzschild exterior terminates where q leaves the physical state space	Part V, App. F
Hawking temperature and exterior ringdown	strong field	Conditional exterior result	unchanged Schwarzschild exterior with absorbing $q = 0$ boundary	App. F
Bekenstein–Hawking area-law bridge	strong field	Conditionally closed under boundary channel-counting rule; boundary Hamiltonian open	exact graph response + $G_\perp = (2/3)G_{\text{tet}}(0) + n_{\text{hor}}S_\infty^{\text{cell}} = 1/4$	App. C, F
Dynamical collapse and boundary microphysics	strong field	Frontier	free-boundary transport, reflectivity, and horizon action still to derive	Part V, App. F
Rotating / charged strong-field branches	strong field	Frontier	requires generalized stationary capacity variable	App. F
Many-Pasts Born recovery	quantum foundations	Closed operationally	$\alpha = 1$ theorem	Part V, App. G
No-signaling in operational branch	quantum foundations	Closed operationally	$\beta = 0$ theorem	Part V, App. G
Arrow-of-time account	quantum foundations	Coherent extension	conditional typicality / counting	Part V, App. G
Candidate microstructure Hamiltonian	UV realization	Coherent extension	condensate / GFT realization sketch	Part V, App. H
Charged-lepton spectrum	particle-sector extension	Derived modulo narrow normalization audit	three-generation termination from K^2 -spectrum collapse at $N = 3$; $m_N/m_e = 720^N(2/7)^{N^2}$ from first-shell reduced-alphabet entropy and singlet-projection shell kernel; matches PDG at 0.5–0.7% with no fitted parameters	App. I
Gauge-redundancy extension	gauge sector	Coherent extension	baseline-redundancy construction with Maxwell/Yang–Mills form	App. I
Numerical robustness checks	validation layer	Supportive audit layer	cross-sector consistency tests	App. J
EFT consistency checklist	field-theory audit	Supportive audit layer	no-ghost / no-tachyon / causal-propagation checklist with explicit vacuum dispersion stability	App. D

This table is the epistemic map used for the rest of the discussion.

24. Falsifiability and Observational Tests

24.1 Static weak-field falsifiers

The static weak-field sector stands or falls on a small number of concrete checks. The most direct are the shape and tightness of the galaxy RAR transition [1], the baryonic Tully–Fisher scaling

in systems where the EFT should apply, and the weak-field lensing sector. A persistent need for gravitational slip where the scalar stress predicts none would be especially damaging, because it would break the same no-slip structure used to keep dynamics and lensing aligned. Solar-system bounds, especially Cassini-class PPN tests [3], also require the leading no-slip branch to survive at high precision.

24.2 Dynamical falsifiers

The dynamical extension is more vulnerable, and its failure modes are correspondingly sharper. Time-dependent halo lag, cluster-scale acceleration relations, merger offsets, or relaxation signatures that cannot be reconciled with the telegrapher relation $D/\tau_0 = c^2$ would indicate that the causal completion has the wrong propagation structure even if the static branch survives. Cluster data and systems such as the Bullet Cluster [2, 4] should therefore be treated as dynamical and transport tests, not merely as larger versions of the static galaxy problem.

24.3 Cosmological falsifiers

Cosmology presents a different kind of test. The question there is not whether the mechanism points in the right direction, but whether a full Boltzmann treatment allows the trace-coupled homogeneous mode to reduce the sound horizon without spoiling the CMB or structure-growth observables. If it cannot, the cosmological extension fails on its own terms.

24.4 Correlated-constant falsifiers

One of the more distinctive signatures of the framework is that the same microstructural chain feeds the substrate scale, the weak-field gravitational normalization, and the galactic acceleration scale. A precision program that could test the inferred L_* and G_* scale against matched G , a_0 , and the RAR normalization would probe the theory more sharply than isolated single-observable fits, because it would confront the shared coefficient origin directly.

24.5 Many-Pasts status

The Many-Pasts sector is not likely to be challenged first by ordinary laboratory deviations from quantum mechanics, because it is built to reproduce the usual operational structure there. Its more immediate points of failure are internal ones: failure of exact Born recovery, failure of no-signaling, or incompatibility with the thermodynamic arrow structure it is supposed to illuminate.

These points define the canonical falsifiability map.

25. What the Theory Would Have to Get Wrong to Fail

Placed together, the main failure modes have a simple shape:

- If future weak-field observations require persistent gravitational slip in the relevant galactic or cluster regimes, the canonical weak-field branch fails.
- If the RAR transition shape systematically departs from the derived bosonic occupancy law in systems well described by the static branch, the canonical galactic EFT fails.
- If the faithful sector-resolution principle cannot be recovered from a deeper microscopic Hamiltonian, the percent-level G_* scale prediction remains a conditional support principle rather than a microscopic theorem.

- If the weak-field UV coefficient chain cannot be reconciled with an independently validated microscopic derivation, the canonical UV closure loses support.
- If the cosmological trace-coupled homogeneous mode cannot survive full Boltzmann likelihood confrontation, the cosmology sector fails even if the static weak-field branch survives.
- If the constrained-capacity branch cannot retain the tested Schwarzschild exterior, standard absorbing-boundary ringdown, or the required horizon thermodynamics once its boundary action is derived, the strong-field completion fails while the weak-field EFT may still remain viable.

These are failure modes rather than a separate derivational sector.

26. Comparison with Other Approaches

Because the framework aims to replace dark matter and partially reorganize the usual dark-energy story, it is useful to state briefly how its logic differs from nearby alternatives.

26.1 Relative to Λ CDM

The contrast with Λ CDM begins at the level of ontology. Standard cosmology explains the relevant phenomenology by adding dark matter and an independent cosmological constant or dark-energy sector to otherwise standard gravity. Here the visible matter sector is retained, but it is interpreted as the macroscopic description of localized defects in a vacuum-capacity medium whose weak-field response supplies the effective extra gravitating component. The same closure chain is then asked to feed G , a_0 , the RAR law, weak-field lensing consistency, and the homogeneous cosmological mode.

26.2 Relative to MOND-like interpolation programs

MOND-like programs usually begin from an acceleration law or interpolation function and ask how much galaxy phenomenology it can explain. The present logic runs the other way. The interpolation law is not taken as primary; it is downstream of the UV entropy, the $1+2$ channel geometry, and the bosonic occupancy branch. The galactic law is thus treated as an output of the same micro-to-IR closure chain rather than as the phenomenological starting point.

26.3 Relative to Verlinde-style emergent gravity

Verlinde-style emergent-gravity programs share the broad intuition that gravity may be entropic, but they are usually formulated at the level of thermodynamic reasoning or horizon-inspired force laws. The present framework is trying to do something narrower and more explicit: finite tetrahedral boundary counting, admissibility closure, edge coupling, finite renormalization, Euclidean-action normalization, and only then a continuum scalar EFT. Whether that chain is ultimately correct is an empirical matter, but it is a different kind of proposal from a purely macroscopic entropic argument.

26.4 Relative to TeVeS and other multi-field modified gravities

Multi-field relativistic MOND completions such as TeVeS typically introduce additional vector or tensor sectors in order to repair lensing or cosmological problems. The present weak-field construction instead keeps a single scalar entanglement field within a low-energy Einstein continuum sector that is itself interpreted as emergent from the substrate, and relies on the no-slip

structure $\Phi = \Psi$ at leading order to keep lensing and dynamics aligned. That economy is attractive if the branch survives confrontation with data, and immediately vulnerable if future observations demand persistent slip or extra weak-field structure.

This comparison is meant as context rather than as a derivational sector.

27. Conclusion

The central achievement of the manuscript is a weak-field closure result. A finite entanglement-capacity microstructure is carried through admissibility closure, substrate length setting, edge transport, finite renormalization, continuum matching, Green-function source matching, and a covariant scalar EFT to produce Newtonian gravity, the galactic acceleration scale, the RAR law, and weak-field lensing consistency without per-system tuning. More broadly, the manuscript argues that the continuum metric sector itself should be understood as the low-energy capacity geometry of the same substrate, so Einstein gravity appears here as a continuum limit of the theory rather than as an independent foundation underneath it.

In compact form, the static chain is now: tetrahedral counting fixes $g_{\text{share,eff}}$, faithful sector resolution fixes $L_* = (3/2)\lambda_e e^{-7g_{\text{share,eff}}}$ and hence $G_* = (9/4)(\hbar c/m_e^2)e^{-14g_{\text{share,eff}}}$, finite returns give $\Sigma_{\text{ret}} = 65/9$, continuum matching fixes γ , Green matching fixes κ/γ , and the weak-field bridge gives the Newtonian branch, a_0 , the RAR law, and $\Phi = \Psi$ at leading order. Transport also closes at the level of finite propagation, $D/\tau_0 = c^2$. In the particle sector, the same shell algebra produces the charged-lepton mass ratios at 0.5–0.7% with no fitted parameters, with the three-generation count fixed by K^2 -spectrum collapse at $N = 3$ and the mass ladder $m_N/m_e = 720^N(2/7)^{N^2}$ following from the first-shell reduced-alphabet entropy and the singlet-projection shell kernel (Appendix I.1).

That does not finish the whole theory, but it does change the shape of the open problems. What remains is no longer the invention of a missing theory; it is the hard completion work of an existing one: independent graph-level confirmation of the finite-loop self-energy, Hamiltonian derivation of the faithful sector-resolution principle, precision comparison of the matched weak-field G with the induced scale G_* , full Boltzmann cosmology, the microscopic horizon boundary Hamiltonian behind the channel-counting rule, dynamical collapse simulations, and rotating or charged strong-field generalizations. Cosmology, constrained-capacity strong field, and Many-Pasts all remain part of the same ontology, though they do not yet stand at the same level of derivational support as the static weak-field chain.

Appendix A: Symbol Dictionary and Canonical Conventions

Appendix A gathers the conventions used throughout the technical material that follows. Its purpose is simply to keep the later appendices readable by fixing the units, field definitions, and couplings in one place before the denser calculations begin.

A.1 Units, signature, and entropy normalization

All dimensional quantities are expressed in SI units unless noted otherwise. The metric signature is $(-, +, +, +)$. Entropies are measured in nats, so Boltzmann's constant is absorbed into the entropy normalization. The canonical UV cell has spatial scale L_* and volume $V_* = L_*^3$. In the canonical branch L_* is fixed by faithful sector resolution,

$$L_* = \frac{3}{2} \lambda_e e^{-7g_{\text{share,eff}}}, \quad \lambda_e = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c}.$$

The conventional Planck length $L_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$ is used only as a comparison scale or in standard gravitational thermodynamic expressions after the gravitational scale has been identified.

These conventions matter because the argument repeatedly moves between a dimensionless UV counting problem and a dimensionful continuum EFT. The units and signature are what make those two descriptions comparable rather than merely suggestive.

A.2 Core scalar variables

The canonical continuum variable is the vacuum-relative coarse-grained entanglement field

$$S_{\text{ent}}(x),$$

with vacuum baseline S_∞ and deficit

$$\delta S(x) = S_\infty - S_{\text{ent}}(x).$$

For nonlinear work the bounded occupancy fraction is

$$q(x) = \frac{S_{\text{ent}}(x)}{S_\infty} = 1 - \frac{\delta S}{S_\infty} \in [0, 1].$$

The absolute entropy unit is fixed only after choosing a cell or horizon normalization. Under a constant rescaling of S_{ent} , the quantities S_∞ and κ/γ rescale together, leaving $\delta S/S_\infty$ and $\kappa/(\gamma S_\infty)$ invariant. The source channel is

$$\chi(x) = -\frac{T^\mu{}_\mu}{c^2},$$

which is the continuum trace channel of the localized defect sector and reduces to the ordinary mass density ρ in the nonrelativistic static limit.

A.3 Couplings and derived observables

The main-text conventions are

$$\gamma : \text{entanglement-field stiffness}, \quad (12)$$

$$\kappa : \text{continuum defect-entropy coupling}, \quad (13)$$

$$\kappa_m(\ell) : \text{mass-per-entropy map at scale } \ell, \quad (14)$$

$$L_* = \frac{3}{2} \lambda_e e^{-7g_{\text{share,eff}}}, \quad (15)$$

$$G_* = \frac{c^3 L_*^2}{\hbar} = \frac{9}{4} \frac{\hbar c}{m_e^2} e^{-14g_{\text{share,eff}}}, \quad (16)$$

$$G_{\text{tet}}(0) : \text{tetrahedral on-site Green constant}, \quad (17)$$

$$g_{\text{share,max}} = \ln(1680), \quad (18)$$

$$g_{\text{share,eff}} : \text{admissibility-weighted sharing entropy}, \quad (19)$$

$$J_{\text{bare}}, J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}, J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})} : \text{UV edge couplings}, \quad (20)$$

$$a_0 = \frac{cH_0 g_{\text{share,eff}}}{4\pi^2}. \quad (21)$$

The canonical weak-field bridge and Newton closure are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Phi}{c^2} &= -\frac{\delta S}{2S_\infty}, & \frac{\kappa}{\gamma} &= \frac{3L_*}{4G_{\text{tet}}(0)\kappa_m(L_*)}, \\ G &= \frac{c^2 \kappa}{8\pi\gamma S_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Collected in one place, these formulas also make clear which quantities are downstream of the closure chain. The UV data determine the stiffness and source-to-stiffness ratio first; the observable weak-field constants appear after the bridge and fixed S_∞ normalization are applied.

A.4 Notation map

One notation set is used throughout. The effective sharing entropy is denoted $g_{\text{share,eff}}$, the scalar variable is always the vacuum-relative field S_{ent} or its deficit δS , and the weak-field bridge is used in the single form stated above.

Appendix A serves as the reference layer for those conventions.

Appendix B: UV Boundary Ensemble and Admissibility Closure

Appendix B records the finite ultraviolet counting problem in its explicit form. It shows how the theory begins from a discrete boundary ensemble and ends with a unique admissibility-closed entropy rather than with an unconstrained continuum ansatz.

B.1 Minimal tetrahedral package

The canonical UV cell is a tetrahedron with four structural ingredients:

- a tetrahedral volumetric cell;
- half-integer fermionic face data on each face;
- injective face assignment across the four faces;
- binary orientation/parity.

Postulate II identifies the elementary defect sector as fermionic, so each face carries half-integer base spin j_0 . For a shared face the effective boundary sector is

$$j_0 \otimes j_0 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus \cdots \oplus 2j_0.$$

Postulate I selects the maximum-capacity channel, hence $j_{\text{eff}} = 2j_0$ with $|M| = 2j_{\text{eff}} + 1 = 4j_0 + 1$ distinguishable face states. Injectivity across four faces requires $|M| \geq 4$. The $j_0 = 1/2$ option fails because it gives $j_{\text{eff}} = 1$ and $|M| = 3$. The first half-integer choice that works is therefore $j_0 = 3/2$, giving $j_{\text{eff}} = 3$ and the canonical seven-state face sector. The resulting state count is

$$\Omega_{\text{tet}} = 2 \times P(7, 4) = 1680, \quad g_{\text{share, max}} = \ln(1680) = 7.42654907240.$$

This is the minimal discrete package used in the framework to obtain a finite, isotropic, auditable boundary-channel structure.

The important feature is not just that the counting closes, but that it closes for structural reasons. Fermionic face data, injectivity, and maximum-capacity channel selection together force the seven-state face sector instead of leaving it as a tunable menu choice.

The minimality statement can also be written as a short proof. A volumetric cell in $d = 3$ needs at least four faces, so a tetrahedron is the first admissible simplex. The closure surrogate is three-component, so the face sector must be rich enough to support a nontrivial quadratic spectrum in $d = 3$ rather than a degenerate one-dimensional label count. Postulate II makes the face data fermionic, hence half-integer. Maximum-capacity channel selection then gives

$$j_{\text{eff}} = 2j_0, \quad |M| = 2j_{\text{eff}} + 1 = 4j_0 + 1.$$

Injectivity across four faces requires $|M| \geq 4$. The only half-integer option below $j_0 = 3/2$ is $j_0 = 1/2$, which gives $j_{\text{eff}} = 1$ and $|M| = 3$, so it fails. The first admissible fermionic choice is therefore $j_0 = 3/2$, giving $j_{\text{eff}} = 3$ and the canonical seven-state face sector. In that precise sense, the (4-face, 7-state) tetrahedral package is the minimal architecture compatible with a three-component isotropic closure mode, injective boundary information, and finite volumetric counting.

B.2 Closure invariant, kernel, and unique fixed point

The canonical scalar closure invariant is

$$K^2(b) = 48 - \frac{1}{3}(S^2 - \Sigma^2), \quad S = \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i, \quad \Sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i^2.$$

The admissibility family is

$$p_\eta(b) = \frac{1}{Z(\eta)} e^{-\eta K^2(b)}, \quad Z(\eta) = \sum_{b \in B} e^{-\eta K^2(b)}.$$

The closure condition

$$\langle K^2 \rangle_\eta = \frac{3}{2\eta}$$

has the unique solution

$$\eta_* = 0.0298668443935.$$

Because the parity-symmetric ensemble is finite, the root-finding problem can be written directly from the exact discrete spectrum itself. The distinct closure-defect values and their degeneracies are

K^2	$\frac{122}{3}$	$\frac{134}{3}$	$\frac{142}{3}$	$\frac{146}{3}$	$\frac{152}{3}$	$\frac{154}{3}$
mult	96	96	96	288	192	144

K^2	$\frac{158}{3}$	54	$\frac{164}{3}$	$\frac{166}{3}$	$\frac{170}{3}$
mult	384	192	48	96	48

with total multiplicity 1680 as required. In particular,

$$Z(\eta) = \sum_a n_a e^{-\eta K_a^2}, \quad \langle K^2 \rangle_\eta = \frac{\sum_a n_a K_a^2 e^{-\eta K_a^2}}{\sum_a n_a e^{-\eta K_a^2}},$$

where (K_a^2, n_a) run over the table above. The closed-branch value η_* is therefore the unique root of an exact finite-spectrum equation, not an unseen numerical fit. The corresponding effective sharing entropy is

$$g_{\text{share,eff}} = - \sum_{b \in B} p_{\eta_*}(b) \ln p_{\eta_*}(b) = 7.41980002357.$$

The closed-branch moments used in the UV stiffness discussion are

$$\langle K^2 \rangle_{\eta_*} = 50.2229154254, \quad \text{Var}_{\eta_*}(K^2) = 15.6889750078, \quad a_{\text{UV}} = 0.0637390269.$$

These values quantify the local stiffness of the canonical closure point rather than a tunable phenomenological uncertainty. The closure-saturation product is

$$C_{\text{cl}} := \eta_* \langle K^2 \rangle_{\eta_*} = \frac{3}{2}, \quad C_{\text{cl}}^{-1} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

This same reciprocal $2/3$ reappears as the tetrahedral transverse export fraction in Appendix C and as the global correction in the faithful sector-resolution scale setting.

This is where the admissibility parameter stops being free. The kernel introduces η , and the closure condition removes its arbitrariness again by demanding that the fluctuation scale produced by the weighting agree with the weighting itself.

B.3 Rooted reduction and local benchmarks

Rooting on the shared face reduces the exact parity-symmetric ensemble to 140 rooted microstates and 69 rooted closure classes. The rooted classes can be labeled by $\alpha = (m_\bullet, K^2)$, so the same reduced state space already supports the local evaluation, the cavity benchmark, and the later shell propagation. The local information observable

$$\sigma_{\text{ind}}^{(r)} = \frac{H(X | Y_r)}{H(X)}$$

has the principal pre-nonlocal benchmarks

$$\sigma_{\text{ind}}^{\text{toy}} = 0.44997, \tag{22}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{ind}}^{\text{loc}} = 0.44708, \tag{23}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{ind}}^{\text{Bethe}}(J=0) = 0.44749. \tag{24}$$

Here the Bethe value is the homogeneous cavity evaluation on the 69×69 rooted-class interaction graph at zero transport coupling,

$$\mu_\alpha \propto w_\alpha \left(\sum_\beta U_{\alpha\beta}(0) \mu_\beta \right)^{z-1}, \quad \sum_\alpha \mu_\alpha = 1,$$

with $z = 4$ and $U_{\alpha\beta}(0)$ the rooted shared-face compatibility matrix before shell transport is turned on. In other words, $\sigma_{\text{ind}}^{\text{Bethe}}(J=0)$ is the cavity-theory benchmark of the same explicit

rooted ensemble, not a disconnected numerical insert. The horizon target implied by the effective sharing entropy is

$$\sigma_* = \frac{\pi}{g_{\text{share,eff}}} = 0.42340665.$$

The gap between the local benchmarks and σ_* is therefore a genuinely shell / loop problem rather than a failure of the local admissibility closure.

That separation matters for the later UV story. It means the remaining work is not to repair the local closure ensemble, but to propagate it more accurately through transport and return structure.

B.4 What is fixed at this stage

By the end of the admissibility calculation, the framework has already fixed the microscopic counting ceiling, the unique closure point, the effective sharing entropy, and the local stiffness moments. What remains for the next appendix is not another entropy choice, but the propagation of those quantities into edge transport, finite renormalization, and continuum normalization.

Appendix B completes the UV counting problem and records the unique admissibility closure together with the local benchmarks needed by the coefficient chain.

Appendix C: Edge Kernel, Finite Renormalization, and Continuum Matching

Appendix C carries the middle part of the UV-to-IR derivation. Appendix B fixed what the local boundary ensemble is. Appendix C asks how that local data propagate into edge transport, loop dressing, and finally the continuum stiffness coefficient of the weak-field EFT.

C.1 Channel-averaged isotropy identity and tree coupling

Let \hat{n}_i be the four face normals of a regular tetrahedron. The exact identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_i^\top = \frac{4}{3} I_3$$

implies a channel-averaged transverse fraction of $2/3$. The bare edge stiffness is therefore

$$J_{\text{bare}} = \frac{2}{3} \eta_* = 0.0199112296.$$

For a rooted $z = 4$ coarse adjacency graph, the tree-to-lattice map gives

$$J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}} = \frac{J_{\text{bare}}}{z-1} = \frac{2\eta_*}{9} = 0.0066370765.$$

This is the first place where local closure data become a transport law. The tetrahedral identity fixes the isotropic projection, and the rooted branching structure determines how much of the microscopic edge penalty survives as net outward propagation on the coarse graph.

C.2 Horizon target and shell convergence

The horizon-capacity target is

$$\sigma_* = \frac{\pi}{g_{\text{share,eff}}} = 0.42340665.$$

At the derived coupling the explicit shell values are

$$\sigma_{\text{ind}}^{(2)} = 0.42143, \quad \sigma_{\text{ind}}^{(3)} = 0.42166, \quad \Delta_{2 \rightarrow 3} = 0.00023.$$

The residual shift from the target is already small and stable by shell depth $r = 2$, isolating the remaining correction to the loopy local-return sector rather than a broad nonlocal ambiguity.

So the shell calculation narrows the open problem substantially. The tree branch already lands very near the target, and the residual discrepancy can be assigned specifically to local returns rather than to an uncontrolled long-range correction.

C.3 Finite-loop self-energy closure

The leading loopy correction is organized as a local Dyson dressing:

$$J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})} = \frac{J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}}{1 + J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}} \Sigma_{\text{ret}}}.$$

The structural decomposition is

$$\Sigma_{\text{ret}} = 7 + \frac{2}{9} = \frac{65}{9},$$

and each term has a concrete return-channel origin. A short return motif leaves a shared face, explores a local closed loop, and re-enters the same coarse edge before contributing to net long-range transport. In the canonical label basis $m = -3, -2, \dots, 3$, there are exactly seven ways to do this without changing sector. These are the seven sector-diagonal returns, one for each face-label channel, and together they contribute

$$\text{Tr}(I_7) = 7.$$

In addition to these label-preserving loops, permutation symmetry allows one collective mode shared across all channels. Writing

$$P_{\text{sing}} = |u\rangle\langle u|, \quad u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}(1, 1, \dots, 1),$$

this shared return is rank one. Any additional off-diagonal return sector would break the permutation symmetry of the canonical local ensemble, so there is no second independent collective channel to count. Only the transverse scalar branch feeds back into the coarse transport law, so the singlet first acquires the same $2/3$ projection factor that appeared in the tree coupling. It is then diluted by the rooted branching factor $1/(z-1) = 1/3$ on the $z = 4$ graph, because only one of the three outward branches returns to the original edge. The collective contribution is therefore

$$\text{Tr}\left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{3} P_{\text{sing}}\right) = \frac{2}{9},$$

since $\text{Tr}(P_{\text{sing}}) = 1$. Equivalently,

$$R_{\text{ret}} = I_7 + \frac{2}{9} P_{\text{sing}}, \quad \Sigma_{\text{ret}} = \text{Tr}(R_{\text{ret}}) = 7 + \frac{2}{9}.$$

This is the sense in which the finite-loop coefficient is counted rather than guessed: seven independent label-preserving returns plus one shared singlet return with exactly the same projection and branching weights already fixed in the tree map. Hence

$$c_{\text{loop}}^{(\text{ren})} \equiv \frac{J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}}{J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}}} = \frac{1}{1 + J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}} \Sigma_{\text{ret}}} \approx 0.95426,$$

and

$$J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})} \approx 0.00633348.$$

This reproduces the shell-target crossing near $J_{\text{bare,cross}} \sim 0.019$ at the stated level of agreement.

The local Dyson dressing is therefore doing one precise job: it corrects the tree branch by accounting for the short motifs that recycle amplitude before it contributes to true coarse transport. The renormalized coupling is not a new parameter, but the tree coupling after local returns have been summed.

C.4 Euclidean-action normalization and continuum stiffness

The lattice quadratic form is interpreted canonically as a Euclidean action weight,

$$\frac{I_E}{\hbar} = \frac{J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}}{2} \sum_{a,i} (Q_a - Q_{a+L_*\hat{n}_i})^2,$$

where the sum runs over all sites a and all four outgoing nearest-neighbor directions \hat{n}_i (each nearest-neighbor edge counted twice). The microscopic four-cell is

$$\Delta V_4 = \frac{L_*^4}{c}.$$

The same tetrahedral identity then yields

$$\gamma Q = \frac{4\hbar c}{3L_*^2} J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}$$

for the occupancy field Q_{occ} . With the horizon-capacity normalization

$$S = \pi Q_{\text{occ}},$$

the canonical convention $\frac{\gamma}{2}(\partial S)^2$ gives

$$\gamma = \frac{4\hbar c}{3\pi^2 L_*^2} J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})} = \frac{4\hbar c}{3\pi^2 L_*^2} \frac{2\eta_*/9}{1 + (2\eta_*/9)(65/9)}.$$

Using the substrate-induced scale

$$G_* := \frac{c^3 L_*^2}{\hbar},$$

this is

$$\gamma = \frac{4J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}}{3\pi^2} \frac{c^4}{G_*} \approx 8.556 \times 10^{-4} \frac{c^4}{G_*}.$$

This is the decisive stiffness-side matching step. Up to here the derivation has produced a dimensionless lattice weighting; after Euclidean normalization and faithful sector-resolution scale setting, that same weighting becomes the dimensionful continuum stiffness that appears in the weak-field action.

C.5 Local defect insertion and the source-side lattice constant

The stiffness-side matching is not the only UV quantity that can be closed locally. For the canonical rigid defect insertion, excluding one of the seven admissible face labels from one face removes exactly one-seventh of the isotropically averaged local partition weight. Therefore the logarithm of the isotropically averaged partition ratio is exactly

$$\Delta S_{\text{def}} := -\ln \left\langle \frac{Z_{\text{def}}}{Z_{\text{vac}}} \right\rangle_{\text{iso}} = \ln \frac{7}{6}.$$

This is the exact isotropic source benchmark in the canonical seven-label ensemble. The isotropically averaged defect free-energy cost differs from it only at $O(10^{-5})$ because the admissibility weighting breaks label symmetry only weakly.

The local source benchmark $\ln(7/6)$ should not be confused with the elementary fermionic one-bit anchor $\ln 2$. The former is the isotropically averaged partition-ratio shift produced by removing one admissible label from the seven-label boundary ensemble. The latter is the intrinsic binary entropy of an elementary occupied/unoccupied fermionic face-exclusion defect. The source theorem uses $\ln(7/6)$ to normalize the local scalar insertion into the lattice response, while the electron anchor uses $\ln 2$ to fix the mass-entropy unit of the elementary fermionic defect.

To propagate that local defect into the lattice field equation one needs the on-site Green function of the tetrahedral/diamond nearest-neighbor Laplacian. The dual graph of face-sharing tetrahedral cells is the four-valent diamond graph. Eliminating the two-sublattice structure gives the standard Brillouin-zone representation for the diamond lattice Green function [5]

$$G_{\text{tet}}(0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^3} \frac{4 d^3 k}{16 - |1 + e^{ik_1} + e^{ik_2} + e^{ik_3}|^2}.$$

This integral is the reproducible source-side lattice constant: it is the self-energy of a unit point insertion for the same scalar mode whose long-wavelength stiffness was matched in Appendix C.4. Joyce's exact evaluation of the diamond-lattice Green function, in this normalization, gives [6, 5]

$$G_{\text{tet}}(0) = \frac{3\Gamma(1/3)^6}{2^{14/3}\pi^4} = 0.4482203943883814 \dots$$

Thus the source-side graph constant is an exact lattice invariant rather than a fitted numerical coefficient. Direct quadrature with endpoint extrapolation reproduces the same value.

Green-tensor transverse response. The same graph response also fixes the transverse export weight w_{\perp} , the single graph quantity that propagates downstream into the horizon channel count of Appendix F.5 and into the global $\ln(3/2)$ closure-saturation factor of the scale-setting relation. We compute it directly from the four nearest-neighbor bond frame, with no fitting freedom.

Let d_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$, be the four diamond nearest-neighbor bond directions,

$$d_1 = \frac{(1, 1, 1)}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad d_2 = \frac{(1, -1, -1)}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad d_3 = \frac{(-1, 1, -1)}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad d_4 = \frac{(-1, -1, 1)}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

They obey

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 d_i^a d_i^b = \frac{4}{3} \delta^{ab}.$$

Distributing the scalar on-site Green response over the tetrahedral bond frame gives

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{loc}}^{ab} = G_{\text{tet}}(0) \frac{1}{4} \sum_i d_i^a d_i^b = \frac{G_{\text{tet}}(0)}{3} \delta^{ab}.$$

For a local horizon normal \hat{r} ,

$$P_{\perp}^{ab} = \delta^{ab} - \hat{r}^a \hat{r}^b,$$

so

$$G_{\perp} = P_{\perp}^{ab} \mathcal{G}_{\text{loc}}^{ab} = \frac{2}{3} G_{\text{tet}}(0).$$

Thus the transverse export weight $w_\perp = 2/3$ is the transverse part of the exact local graph response.

Using the field normalization $S = \pi Q_{\text{occ}}$, the rigid local defect shift is

$$\delta Q_{\text{def}} = \frac{\Delta S_{\text{def}}}{\pi} = \frac{\ln(7/6)}{\pi}.$$

The corresponding local source amplitude in lattice units is therefore

$$s_{\text{def}} = J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})} \frac{\delta Q_{\text{def}}}{G_{\text{tet}}(0)},$$

so that

$$\frac{s_{\text{def}}}{J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})}} = \frac{\ln(7/6)}{\pi G_{\text{tet}}(0)} = 0.109472228 \dots$$

is a pure number fixed by the same UV lattice geometry.

The Green-function constant turns the local insertion into a continuum source theorem. Defining the defect-entropy density by

$$\sigma_{\text{def}} = \frac{\rho}{\kappa_m(L_*)},$$

the tetrahedral projection used in the stiffness mapping gives

$$\nabla^2 \delta S = -\frac{3L_*}{4G_{\text{tet}}(0)} \sigma_{\text{def}}.$$

Equating this with the weak-field source equation

$$\nabla^2 \delta S = -\frac{\kappa}{\gamma} \rho$$

closes the canonical source-to-stiffness ratio:

$$\boxed{\frac{\kappa}{\gamma} = \frac{3L_*}{4G_{\text{tet}}(0)\kappa_m(L_*)}}.$$

This is the source-side counterpart of the stiffness derivation. The edge-kernel calculation fixes how the scalar capacity mode resists gradients; the Green-matched defect calculation fixes how localized matter defects source that same mode.

Equivalently, the same source closure fixes the continuum quantity Ξ_ρ appearing in

$$\kappa = \frac{\Xi_\rho}{L_*^2 \kappa_m(L_*)}.$$

The absolute scale of S_∞ remains a fixed-epoch normalization convention in the bridge law, not a residual freedom in the source projection. In the cell-normalized gauge natural to the local source theorem, one may write

$$S_\infty^{\text{cell}} = \frac{3 \ln 2}{32\pi G_{\text{tet}}(0)} = 0.0461482516 \dots$$

In a horizon-normalized gauge, S_∞ instead carries the much larger apparent-horizon capacity. These are not two physical constants. A constant rescaling of the entropy field rescales S_∞ and κ/γ together, leaving $\kappa/(\gamma S_\infty)$ and hence G unchanged. Thus the weak-field source map is closed in the canonical branch once the mass-entropy map $\kappa_m(L_*)$, the tetrahedral Green constant, and the standard cell convention are specified.

C.6 Local susceptibility cross-check

The exact local moments of the admissibility-closed ensemble supply an independent non-degeneracy check on the source-side result. From the variance in Appendix B,

$$a_{\text{UV}} := \frac{1}{\text{Var}_{\eta_*}(K^2)} = 0.0637390269,$$

which is the local zero-mode inverse susceptibility of the closure scalar. Two features of this number matter for the source theorem in C.5. First, a_{UV} is finite and positive, confirming that the closed branch at η_* has a non-degenerate zero-mode response rather than a critical singularity that would invalidate the linear Green-function matching used to derive κ/γ . Second, the same local susceptibility controls the stability of the closure mode that is being propagated into the shell and loop calculations, so the residual fractional discrepancy between the local benchmark and σ_* in C.2 is genuinely loop-sector rather than a local-closure failure.

The actual closure of κ/γ in the canonical branch is the Green-matched theorem in Appendix C.5. The role of a_{UV} here is restricted to confirming non-degeneracy of the local mode being matched.

C.7 UV-to-IR payoff

At this stage the weak-field UV coefficient chain is explicit:

$$\Omega_{\text{tet}} \rightarrow g_{\text{share,eff}} \rightarrow L_* \rightarrow J_{\text{bare}} \rightarrow J_{\text{eff}}^{\text{tree}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\text{ret}} \rightarrow J_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{ren})} \rightarrow \gamma.$$

The same chain feeds

$$a_0 = \frac{cH_0 g_{\text{share,eff}}}{4\pi^2},$$

and faithful sector resolution gives the independent scale prediction

$$G_* = \frac{c^3 L_*^2}{\hbar} = \frac{9}{4} \frac{\hbar c}{m_e^2} e^{-14g_{\text{share,eff}}}.$$

and the Green-matched source theorem fixes

$$\frac{\kappa}{\gamma} = \frac{3L_*}{4G_{\text{tet}}(0)\kappa_m(L_*)}.$$

The weak-field bridge then converts this source-to-stiffness ratio into the observed Newtonian normalization through the invariant combination $\kappa/(\gamma S_\infty)$. The comparison with the same G_* fixed by faithful sector resolution is an overconstraint check, not an additional fit.

Appendix C completes the UV-to-continuum chain through the SI-normalized weak-field stiffness coefficient and the Green-matched source-to-stiffness ratio. The remaining uses of S_∞ belong to the fixed normalization of the weak-field bridge, not to the source sector itself.

Appendix D: Weak-Field Technical Derivations, Electron Anchor, and EFT Consistency

Appendix D gathers the weak-field derivations that are conceptually central but too dense to repeat in full in the main line. It is best read as a technical support layer for the bridge law, Newtonian recovery, the electron anchor, and the basic consistency checks of the EFT.

D.1 Bridge-law uniqueness

The weak-field bridge is derived once and then used throughout. Let the lapse be written as

$$N = e^{-F(\delta S/S_\infty)}.$$

Additivity of independent deficits requires $F(x+y) = F(x) + F(y)$, so continuity implies $F(x) = cx$. Standard weak-field metric normalization fixes $c = 1/2$, giving

$$N = e^{-\delta S/(2S_\infty)}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\Phi}{c^2} = -\frac{\delta S}{2S_\infty}$$

to leading order. This is the unique weak-field bridge compatible with locality, additive independent deficits, and multiplicative redshift composition.

Writing the argument this explicitly removes one of the most common ambiguities in modified-gravity proposals. The bridge from entropy deficit to gravitational potential is not being chosen phenomenologically after the fact; it is fixed by the structural requirements of the weak-field limit itself.

D.2 Point source, Newton limit, and lensing

In the renormalized static branch,

$$\nabla^2 \delta S = -\frac{\kappa}{\gamma} \rho.$$

For a point source M ,

$$\delta S(r) = \frac{\kappa M}{4\pi\gamma r}, \quad g(r) = \frac{c^2\kappa}{8\pi\gamma S_\infty} \frac{M}{r^2} = \frac{GM}{r^2}.$$

Because the leading entanglement stress carries no anisotropic stress,

$$\Phi = \Psi$$

at the order treated. The effective-halo rewrite is

$$\rho_{\text{halo}}(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi G r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 (g_{\text{obs}} - g_{\text{bar}}) \right].$$

Thus the same deficit field controls both orbital dynamics and light bending in the leading weak-field regime.

That shared control is the key weak-field consistency test. A viable branch must not reproduce galactic support only by sacrificing lensing, and the scalar deficit sector avoids that failure at the order treated.

D.3 Electron anchor and composite matter

The canonical fermionic entropy increment is

$$\Delta S_f = \ln 2.$$

The UV mass normalization is

$$\kappa_{m,\text{UV}} = \frac{\hbar}{cL_*} \frac{1}{\ln 2},$$

and the running law in the closed branch is

$$\kappa_m(\ell) = \kappa_{m,\text{UV}} \left(\frac{L_*}{\ell} \right)^{1+\alpha_{\text{cl}}}, \quad \alpha_{\text{cl}} = 0.$$

At the electron Compton scale $\ell = \lambda_e$ this gives

$$\kappa_m(\lambda_e) = \frac{m_e}{\ln 2},$$

which is the clean elementary anchor used here. Composite hadrons are not reduced to a bare constituent count. Their mass budget is assigned to a dressed bound-state entropy

$$m_{\text{hadron}} = \kappa_m(\ell_H) S_{\text{ent},H}^{\text{dressed}},$$

whose microscopic decomposition must include confinement, gluonic structure, trace-anomaly contributions, and chiral vacuum reorganization.

The contrast between the two sectors is deliberate. The electron is a clean one-bit defect anchor; hadrons are not. Their inertial content must therefore be assigned to a dressed entropy budget rather than to a naive constituent count.

D.4 Faithful sector resolution and induced G_*

The electron anchor enters the gravitational normalization through the absolute substrate length. The reduced electron Compton wavelength is

$$\lambda_e = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c},$$

and the admissibility-closed sharing entropy is

$$g_{\text{share,eff}} = 7.41980002357.$$

The closure fixed point also gives

$$\langle K^2 \rangle_{\eta_*} = \frac{3}{2\eta_*}, \quad \eta_* = 0.0298668443935,$$

so the closure-saturation factor is

$$C_{\text{cl}} := \eta_* \langle K^2 \rangle_{\eta_*} = \frac{3}{2}, \quad C_{\text{cl}}^{-1} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

The faithful sector-resolution principle is

$$\boxed{\ln \left(\frac{\lambda_e}{L_*} \right) = 7g_{\text{share,eff}} - \ln C_{\text{cl}} = 7g_{\text{share,eff}} - \ln \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\boxed{L_* = \frac{3}{2} \lambda_e e^{-7g_{\text{share,eff}}}}.$$

This is the named sector-resolution principle of the canonical branch. Once it is adopted, the cell length is fixed from non-gravitational input: the electron Compton scale and the already-derived substrate combinatorics. It gives

$$L_* = 1.60771947 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m},$$

which is about 0.528% below the conventional CODATA Planck length.

The induced gravitational scale follows from the same algebra used in the stiffness matching:

$$G_* := \frac{c^3 L_*^2}{\hbar}.$$

Substituting the sector-resolution relation gives the closed form

$$G_* = \frac{c^3}{\hbar} \left(\frac{3}{2} \lambda_e e^{-7g_{\text{share,eff}}} \right)^2 = \frac{9}{4} \frac{\hbar c}{m_e^2} e^{-14g_{\text{share,eff}}}.$$

Numerically,

$$G_* = 6.60399128 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2},$$

about 1.053% below the CODATA value. The uncertainty imported from λ_e is many orders of magnitude smaller than this residual, so the difference is theoretical rather than metrological.

The factor 3/2 is fixed twice over inside the UV package, by independent routes. First, the tetrahedral identity gives the transverse export fraction

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 (\hat{n}_i \cdot \hat{u})^2 = \frac{1}{3}, \quad f_{\perp} = 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Second, the admissibility fixed point gives

$$C_{\text{cl}}^{-1} = (\eta_* \langle K^2 \rangle_{\eta_*})^{-1} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Thus the same 2/3 appears as both the geometric export fraction and the reciprocal closure-saturation factor. In the sector-resolution relation it enters once as a global subtraction $\ln(3/2)$, not seven times, because the closure condition is one scalar fixed-point condition on the admissibility family rather than seven independent sector constraints.

A short look-elsewhere check makes the role of this correction more precise. Write a possible log-gap correction as

$$L_* = \lambda_e \exp[-7g_{\text{share,eff}} + \Delta].$$

Several framework numbers lie near the required correction, but they do not play the same structural role:

Correction Δ	Value	Induced G residual	Structural status
$\ln(3/2)$	0.40546511	-1.05%	double-fixed as f_{\perp}^{-1} and C_{cl}
$g_{\text{share,eff}} - 7$	0.41980002	+1.82%	nearby, but not independently selected
$G_{\text{tet}}(0)$	0.44822039	+7.78%	local Green constant, not global export factor
$7[-\ln c_{\text{loop}}^{(\text{ren})}]$	0.32774720	-15.30%	transport renormalization, wrong role

The preferred correction is the one already singled out by both the tetrahedral export geometry and the admissibility fixed-point saturation; the other nearby numbers play different structural roles.

The electron anchor carries three related roles. Its reduced Compton wavelength λ_e is the non-gravitational length used in faithful sector resolution. Its status as the lightest clean one-bit fermionic defect identifies which elementary excitation calibrates the seven-channel dressing block. Its mass fixes the mass-entropy map through

$$\kappa_m(\lambda_e) = \frac{m_e}{\ln 2}.$$

The same elementary defect enters the two normalization channels in distinct roles: its Compton length sets the UV cell scale, while its mass per one-bit defect entropy sets the source normalization.

The seven-sector scale-setting relation is not a counting heuristic; it has a concrete finite-dimensional realization on a transfer-operator history space, which we now construct. The face label algebra is

$$\mathcal{A}_7 = \bigoplus_{m=-3}^3 \mathbb{C}E_m, \quad E_m E_n = \delta_{mn} E_m, \quad \sum_{m=-3}^3 E_m = I_7.$$

The admissibility-closed tetrahedral state space has 1680 oriented injective states, with stationary weight

$$p_{\eta_*}(b) = Z^{-1} e^{-\eta_* K^2(b)}.$$

For a single sector, the refresh kernel

$$P_{\eta_*}(b, b') = p_{\eta_*}(b')$$

has Perron stationary entropy $g_{\text{share,eff}}$. The point is not that the electron simultaneously occupies seven mutually exclusive face labels in one tetrahedron. The labels are sector channels in the dressing history of the one-bit defect. The appropriate support object is therefore a history space, with one admissibility-closed sector layer for each $m = -3, \dots, 3$.

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{hist}} = \bigotimes_{m=-3}^3 \mathcal{H}_B^{(m)}, \quad \dim \mathcal{H}_B = 1680,$$

and let \mathcal{T}_m act as P_{η_*} on the m th factor and as the identity on the others. A representative one-pass dressing operator is

$$\mathcal{D}_e^{(0)} = \mathcal{T}_{-3} \mathcal{T}_{-2} \cdots \mathcal{T}_3.$$

The displayed order is only a representative of the symmetrized one-pass class. It does not introduce a physical ordering of the face sectors, nor does it multiply the support by an extra factor of $7!$. What matters is that the ground state of the elementary fermionic defect resolves each simple face sector once: fewer sectors leave unresolved label memory, while repeated sectors describe excited or additionally dressed states rather than the elementary anchor.

A sector-resolution step should not be identified with conditioning the 1680-state ensemble on boundary states containing a given label m . Such conditioning changes the entropy and does not reproduce $g_{\text{share,eff}}$. The sector label instead specifies which simple face-algebra channel is being resolved while the admissibility cloud sampled in that step remains the full closed boundary ensemble.

With the effective dimension defined by the stationary Shannon entropy of the positive history kernel, each sector contributes $g_{\text{share,eff}}$, so

$$\dim_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{D}_e^{(0)}) = \exp(7g_{\text{share,eff}}) = 3.60286052 \times 10^{22}.$$

Only the transverse part of this support is exported into the weak-field scalar channel. The normalized export weight is

$$w_{\perp} = \frac{2}{3},$$

the same fraction fixed by the tetrahedral transverse projection and by the reciprocal closure-saturation factor. Hence

$$\dim_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{D}_{e,\perp}) = w_{\perp} \dim_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{D}_e^{(0)}) = \frac{2}{3} e^{7g_{\text{share,eff}}} = 2.40190701 \times 10^{22}.$$

The faithful sector-resolution principle is then the support-scale relation

$$\boxed{\frac{\lambda_e}{L_*} = \dim_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{D}_{e,\perp}) = \frac{2}{3} e^{7g_{\text{share,eff}}}.$$

This is the sole remaining load-bearing microscopic principle in the non-gravitational scale-setting branch: the electron is assumed to be the ground one-bit defect whose physical support is one complete transverse-exported faithful sector-resolution block. Once that identification is granted, the seven-fold additivity is product-measure entropy additivity and the 2/3 factor is the tetrahedral transverse projection of Appendix C.5; nothing else in the chain remains free.

This is the microscopic content of the length formula above. It states that the elementary one-bit fermionic defect is supported over one complete transverse-exported dressing block. A deeper Hamiltonian derivation would need to show that the lightest charged fermionic defect indeed selects this faithful one-pass sector resolution as its ground support. The matched weak-field gravitational constant then follows from the gauge-invariant bridge

$$G = \frac{c^2}{8\pi} \frac{\kappa}{\gamma S_\infty},$$

with the entropy-unit normalization handled by the rescaling convention described in Appendix C.5.

D.5 EFT consistency checklist

The weak-field EFT does not rely only on successful phenomenology; it also passes a standard consistency checklist at the level claimed here.

- ‘No ghost’: the scalar kinetic term carries positive sign because $\gamma > 0$.
- ‘No tachyon’: the quadratic fluctuation operator contains no mass term at this order.
- ‘Correct-sign sourcing’: the defect-source coupling lowers the available entanglement capacity around positive-mass defect configurations rather than generating repulsive static behavior in the weak-field branch.
- ‘Causal propagation’: the transport completion satisfies $D/\tau_0 = c^2$, so the time-dependent sector propagates at finite signal speed.
- ‘Weak-field unitarity below cutoff’: once the scalar sector is quantized around the weak-field branch, the absence of ghost or tachyonic modes leaves an ordinary sub-cutoff scalar EFT rather than an obviously pathological one.
- ‘Energy-condition role’: the scalar gradient sector contributes positive local stiffness energy, while cosmological acceleration enters through the background branch rather than through a ghost-like local degree of freedom.

These statements are made at the EFT level claimed here. They do not replace the need for a fuller UV derivation, but they do show that the weak-field scalar sector is not buying phenomenology by obvious field-theoretic pathology.

The checklist is intentionally modest. Its role is not to prove ultraviolet completion of the full framework, but to show that the low-energy scalar sector used in the weak-field branch passes the standard first tests of EFT health.

The one place where an explicit formula is worth recording is linear vacuum stability in the time-dependent sector. Writing a small perturbation δs about the vacuum branch, the linearized telegrapher equation is

$$\tau_0 \ddot{\delta s} + \dot{\delta s} - D \nabla^2 \delta s = 0.$$

For a plane-wave mode $e^{-i\omega t + i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$, this gives the dispersion relation

$$\tau_0\omega^2 + i\omega - Dk^2 = 0.$$

With $\tau_0 > 0$ and $D > 0$, the corresponding mode frequencies have non-growing time dependence, so the vacuum is linearly stable. The same sign structure is what underlies the earlier no-ghost and no-tachyon statements: positive kinetic stiffness, positive transport coefficients, and no negative mass-squared term in the linearized sector.

D.6 Quadratic fluctuations and weak-field stability

Expanding the action about an on-shell background yields the quadratic fluctuation operator

$$I^{(2)}[\delta S] = - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{\gamma}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \delta S \partial_\nu \delta S.$$

There is no quadratic mass term at this order, so the low-energy scalar sector contains one massless bosonic mode. Stability requires $\gamma > 0$, which is reinforced in the microscopic realization appendix by condensate hydrodynamics.

This is also the local EFT reason the bosonic occupancy language in the galactic section is natural rather than decorative. The weak-field branch genuinely contains a stable massless scalar mode whose occupation can be discussed meaningfully.

Appendix D provides the technical support layer for the weak-field bridge, Newton limit, electron anchor, substrate length branch, and EFT consistency audit.

Appendix E: Transport, Cosmology, and Hubble-Tension Implementation

Appendix E collects the time-dependent and homogeneous extensions of the static branch. The common purpose of these subsections is to show that the same scalar medium can propagate causally, relax toward its static limit, and support a cosmological background mode without losing contact with the weak-field structure already derived.

E.1 Telegrapher equation and causal closure

The time-dependent deficit field obeys

$$\tau_0 \partial_t^2 \delta S + \partial_t \delta S = D \nabla^2 \delta S + A\chi, \quad \frac{A}{D} = \frac{\kappa}{\gamma}.$$

Causality requires

$$\frac{D}{\tau_0} = c^2.$$

In the canonical no-new-IR-scale branch,

$$\tau_0^{-1} = H_0, \quad D = \frac{c^2}{H_0}.$$

This is the minimal causal completion of the static Poisson sector. The telegrapher form supplies propagation and relaxation, but it is chosen so that the static weak-field law remains the exact late-time limit rather than being replaced by a new phenomenological rule.

E.2 Static-limit recovery for galaxies

For a Fourier mode k , the telegrapher characteristic equation

$$\tau_0 s^2 + s + Dk^2 = 0$$

has the roots

$$s = -\frac{1}{2\tau_0} \pm i\omega_k, \quad \omega_k \simeq ck$$

whenever $4\tau_0 Dk^2 \gg 1$. Galactic wavelengths are far below the critical scale

$$\lambda_c = \frac{4\pi c}{H_0} \approx 54 \text{ Gpc},$$

so galactic modes are deeply underdamped. Time-averaging the sourced solution over intervals large compared with $2\pi/\omega_k$ returns the static Poisson branch exactly, and the residual ponderomotive correction scales parametrically as

$$\frac{\delta F_{\text{pond}}}{F_{\text{static}}} \sim e^{-T/(2\tau_0)} \left(\frac{\omega_{\text{orb}}}{\omega_k} \right)^2 \sim 10^{-8}.$$

That estimate is why the transport sector does not undercut the static galactic results. The oscillatory contribution is present, but it is parametrically too small to compete with the near-stationary weak-field branch in ordinary galactic systems.

E.3 Homogeneous mode and cosmological sourcing

The cosmological split is

$$S(x, t) = \bar{S}(t) + s(x, t),$$

with $\bar{S}(t)$ the homogeneous mode and $s(x, t)$ the inhomogeneous weak-field sector. The background capacity is normalized by the apparent horizon,

$$S_\infty(t) = \pi \frac{R_A(t)^2}{L_*^2}, \quad R_A(t) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{H^2 + kc^2/a^2}}.$$

Because the field couples to the trace of the stress-energy tensor, the homogeneous mode is suppressed during radiation domination and turns on near matter–radiation equality.

This timing is the central cosmological virtue of the mechanism. The homogeneous mode is quiet when it must be quiet, then becomes relevant close to the epoch where a sound-horizon shift is most useful.

E.4 Sound-horizon shift and shear lock

In the closed cosmological branch, the trace-sourced homogeneous mode acts as a transient early-energy contribution. The qualitative payoff is a smaller sound horizon and an upward shift of the CMB-inferred Hubble constant toward the upper-68 / low-69 $\text{km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ range. Local weak-field predictions are protected by the separation between $\bar{S}(t)$ and $s(x, t)$: the homogeneous mode changes the background branch without rewriting the local static Poisson law.

The result is qualitative but substantial. The homogeneous mode can matter cosmologically without forcing a re-tuning of the local weak-field sector that already fixed the galactic branch.

Appendix E closes the transport relation and preferred branch, while the cosmological sector remains structurally supported but not yet Boltzmann-closed.

Appendix F: Constrained-Capacity Strong-Field Branch

Appendix F records the strong-field black-hole branch in the form used by the main text. The result is not a full microscopic theory of collapse, but it is stronger than a mere horizon mnemonic. Once the bounded capacity variable is adopted and the lapse is tied to surviving capacity, the static spherical exterior is fixed: the continuum EFT lives on the domain $q > 0$, reduces to vacuum Einstein dynamics there, and terminates at the $q = 0$ surface.

F.1 Bounded capacity and the unique lapse map

The strong-field order parameter is the surviving-capacity fraction

$$q(x) = \frac{S_{\text{ent}}(x)}{S_{\infty}} \in [0, 1].$$

This bound follows directly from finite local channel capacity. If the vacuum channel count is finite and S_{ent} is the logarithmic coarse entropy of the surviving local ensemble, then no physical branch can have either negative capacity or more than the asymptotic vacuum capacity.

In a static exterior, the lapse associated with the asymptotic Killing time is determined by the local surviving capacity. Let

$$N = f(q).$$

The conditions are:

$$f(1) = 1, \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 0^+} f(q) = 0.$$

The substrate-level composition axiom is that independent serial capacity losses compose multiplicatively on the lapse:

$$f(q_1 q_2) = f(q_1) f(q_2).$$

This is the assumption that extends the linear weak-field match to a nonlinear lapse map. With continuity, the positive solutions on $(0, 1]$ are $f(q) = q^\alpha$. Expanding near $q = 1 - \epsilon$ gives

$$N = q^\alpha = 1 - \alpha\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2).$$

The weak-field bridge gives

$$N = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} + O(\epsilon^2),$$

so $\alpha = 1/2$ and therefore

$$N = \sqrt{q}, \quad N^2 = q.$$

Equivalently, if one writes $N^2 = F(q)$, the unique continuous multiplicative completion is $F(q) = q$. The nonlinear lapse rule is therefore fixed by capacity composition and weak-field matching; it is not a freely chosen black-hole ansatz.

F.2 Constrained-capacity action on the physical domain

The physical strong-field domain is

$$\mathcal{M}_q = \{(t, x) \mid q(t, x) > 0\}.$$

The minimal constrained-capacity action is the ADM gravitational action on \mathcal{M}_q , with a multiplier enforcing the lapse-capacity relation. In canonical form,

$$I_{\text{strong}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_q} dt d^3x \left[\pi^{ij} \dot{h}_{ij} - N \mathcal{H}_{\text{GR}} - N^i \mathcal{H}_i^{\text{GR}} + \sqrt{h} \lambda (N^2 - q) \right] + I_{\text{matter}} + I_{\infty} + I_{\partial \mathcal{M}_q}.$$

The standard ADM constraint densities are

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{GR}} = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4 \sqrt{h}} \left(\pi_{ij} \pi^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 \right) - \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \sqrt{h} {}^{(3)}R, \quad \mathcal{H}_i^{\text{GR}} = -2D_j \pi^j_i.$$

The same content can be written in Lagrangian form as

$$I_{\text{strong}} = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}_q} dt d^3x N \sqrt{h} \left({}^{(3)}R + K_{ij} K^{ij} - K^2 \right) + \int_{\mathcal{M}_q} dt d^3x \sqrt{h} \lambda (N^2 - q) + I_{\text{matter}} + I_\infty + I_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q}.$$

Here h_{ij} is the spatial metric, N and N^i are the lapse and shift, and

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} \left(\dot{h}_{ij} - D_i N_j - D_j N_i \right)$$

is the extrinsic curvature. The term I_∞ is the usual asymptotic boundary term required for a well-posed variational principle and finite ADM energy, while $I_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q}$ is the effective action carried by the $q = 0$ capacity-exhaustion surface.

Varying λ gives

$$N^2 = q.$$

The q variation gives, schematically,

$$-\sqrt{h} \lambda + \frac{\delta I_{\text{matter}}}{\delta q} + \frac{\delta I_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q}}{\delta q} = 0.$$

Away from the $q = 0$ boundary, and in bulk vacuum with no explicit matter coupling directly to q , this gives $\lambda = 0$. The lapse variation gives

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{GR}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{matter}} = 2N \sqrt{h} \lambda,$$

so in bulk vacuum

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{GR}} = 0.$$

The shift variation gives the ordinary momentum constraint,

$$\mathcal{H}_i^{\text{GR}} + \mathcal{H}_i^{\text{matter}} = 0.$$

The metric evolution equations then reduce to the vacuum Einstein equations on \mathcal{M}_q [7]. The constrained branch is thus not a new scalar-hair exterior. It is Einstein vacuum evolution on the surviving-capacity domain, together with the algebraic statement that the lapse is the square root of local capacity.

F.3 Horizon boundary action and boundary conditions

The only new strong-field ingredient not present in ordinary exterior GR is the inner boundary action on the capacity-exhaustion surface. At the EFT level its variation may be written schematically as

$$\delta I_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q} d^3y \sqrt{\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} s^{AB} \delta \sigma_{AB} + \chi_\partial \delta q + \dots \right),$$

where σ_{AB} is the induced metric on the boundary world tube, s^{AB} is the effective boundary stress response, and χ_∂ is the response conjugate to the capacity variable. The universal boundary condition is not optional:

$$q|_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q} = 0.$$

The further constitutive information—whether the boundary is absorbing or partially reflecting, how quickly its channels relax, and what microscopic degeneracy they carry—is encoded in $I_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q}$.

This is why the static exterior can close before the full microscopic black-hole sector is finished. The exterior bulk equations only require $\lambda = 0$ on \mathcal{M}_q and the algebraic condition $N^2 = q$. The detailed horizon-channel physics lives in the boundary action. A shape variation of the moving boundary would give a force-balance condition of the schematic form

$$\delta_\xi I_{\text{strong}} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q} d^3y \sqrt{\sigma} \xi_n (\mathcal{P}_{\text{bulk}} + \mathcal{P}_{\partial q}) = 0,$$

where ξ_n is the normal displacement and the two pressures encode the limiting bulk stress and the boundary-channel response. Deriving $\mathcal{P}_{\partial q}$ from the tetrahedral graph ensemble is one of the remaining strong-field closure tasks.

F.4 Static spherical vacuum exterior

In static spherical vacuum, the result follows immediately. On \mathcal{M}_q , the bulk equations are the vacuum Einstein equations. The unique asymptotically flat static spherical solution is the Schwarzschild exterior,

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right) c^2 dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2,$$

so the capacity variable is

$$q(r) = N^2(r) = 1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}, \quad r > r_h,$$

with

$$r_h = \frac{2GM}{c^2}.$$

This also agrees with the weak-field capacity deficit:

$$\frac{\delta S(r)}{S_\infty} = \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}, \quad q(r) = 1 - \frac{\delta S(r)}{S_\infty}.$$

The domain carries the physical content. The exterior $r > r_h$ is exactly the standard Schwarzschild exterior. At $r = r_h$, $q = 0$. Continuing the same real capacity branch to $r < r_h$ would require $q < 0$, which is not in the state space. In this framework the classical Schwarzschild interior is therefore not the physical continuation of the same continuum EFT. It is the GR manifold analytically continued beyond the surface where the entanglement-capacity substrate has no remaining local continuum channels.

This exterior-domain result does not by itself decide what an infalling observer experiences at the $q = 0$ surface. Whether the capacity-exhaustion boundary is locally smooth, dissipative, or anomalous is a question about the boundary microphysics encoded in $I_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q}$, not a conclusion fixed by the exterior Schwarzschild closure alone.

F.5 Horizon thermodynamics and boundary capacity

Because the exterior geometry is unchanged, semiclassical quantities depending only on the exterior near-horizon saddle are unchanged. The Euclidean continuation is used here as an exterior-saddle calculation: the resulting periodicity depends on regularity of the near-horizon

exterior geometry, not on a physical continuation of the substrate past $q = 0$. The Euclidean regularity argument therefore gives the standard Hawking temperature [9, 10],

$$T_H = \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi G M k_B}.$$

The same exterior Euclidean saddle gives the Bekenstein–Hawking area law [8, 9],

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{k_B A}{4L_P^2}.$$

We now derive the $1/4$ area coefficient from the microscopic graph response and a single boundary channel-counting rule, rather than taking it as input. The $q = 0$ surface is a saturated boundary of the capacity network, so the entropy is carried by boundary channel degrees of freedom rather than by an interior volume. The exact diamond-lattice Green response in Appendix C gives the transverse boundary component

$$G_{\perp} = \frac{2}{3} G_{\text{tet}}(0).$$

What remains is to count how many elementary active channels per microscopic area cell this transverse response supports. The horizon is a codimension-one saturated surface, so it counts exported boundary channels rather than bulk volume states. The channel density is not introduced as a new normalization constant; it is computed from the transverse component of the already-fixed bulk graph response, measured in elementary one-bit units. Since one elementary active channel carries entropy $\ln 2$, the number of active channels per outward angular direction is $G_{\perp}/\ln 2$, and integrating over the spherical angular measure gives the total active channel density

$$n_{\text{hor}} = 4\pi \frac{G_{\perp}}{\ln 2} = \frac{8\pi G_{\text{tet}}(0)}{3 \ln 2}.$$

Using the cell-normalized capacity baseline from Appendix C,

$$S_{\infty}^{\text{cell}} = \frac{3 \ln 2}{32\pi G_{\text{tet}}(0)},$$

one obtains

$$n_{\text{hor}} S_{\infty}^{\text{cell}} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus a horizon of area A carries the dimensionless entropy

$$\frac{S_{\text{hor}}}{k_B} = \frac{A}{4L_*^2},$$

and, after the gravitational scale has been matched so that $L_P(G_*) = L_*$, the usual Bekenstein–Hawking coefficient is recovered. The area coefficient is therefore conditionally closed under the boundary channel-counting rule. The remaining strong-field task is not to tune the coefficient, but to derive the boundary rule and the full action $I_{\partial\mathcal{M}_q}$ from the saturated-boundary Hamiltonian.

F.6 Absorption, ringdown, and echoes

Exterior wave propagation is also unchanged as long as the $q = 0$ boundary is effectively absorbing. Perturbations outside the capacity-exhaustion surface obey the usual Schwarzschild Regge–Wheeler/Zerilli scattering problem [11, 12]. With an absorbing boundary condition at $q = 0$, the greybody factors, absorption cross sections, and quasinormal ringdown agree with the standard Schwarzschild exterior calculation.

Echoes are therefore not generic in this framework. They arise only if the microscopic boundary channels have finite reflectivity or if the effective reflection surface is displaced outward to a stretched layer

$$q = \epsilon > 0.$$

In that case the region between the exterior potential barrier and the partially reflecting boundary behaves as a cavity, and a typical echo delay scales as

$$\Delta t_{\text{echo}} \sim \frac{2r_h}{c} |\ln \epsilon| + \tau_{\text{ch}},$$

where τ_{ch} is a boundary-channel relaxation time. Absence of echoes is the default absorbing-boundary prediction; echoes, if detected, would probe boundary microphysics rather than follow automatically from the absence of a classical interior.

F.7 Dynamical formation as a free-boundary problem

The static branch does not by itself solve collapse. A dynamical theory must explain how a $q = 0$ surface forms and moves. The natural completion is a bounded causal transport system for q , for example

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t q + D_i J^i &= -\Gamma(q) \Sigma[T_{\mu\nu}], \\ \tau_J (\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_v) J^i + J^i &= -D(q) D^i q. \end{aligned}$$

Here J^i is the capacity flux, $\Sigma[T_{\mu\nu}]$ is a positive depletion source built from the collapsing stress-energy, $D(q)$ is a bounded mobility, $\Gamma(q)$ is a bounded depletion rate, and $\tau_J > 0$ is a relaxation time. Eliminating J^i gives a telegrapher-type equation with finite characteristic speed

$$v_{\text{cap}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{D_0}{\tau_J}}.$$

Choosing constitutive functions such as

$$D(q) = D_0 q(1 - q), \quad \Gamma(q) = \Gamma_0 q$$

makes $q = 0$ and $q = 1$ invariant sets, preventing the evolution from overshooting into $q < 0$.

When q first reaches zero on a two-surface, that surface becomes a moving boundary

$$\partial \mathcal{M}_q(t) = \{x \mid q(t, x) = 0\}.$$

The level-set kinematics are fixed by differentiating $q(t, X(t)) = 0$ along the moving surface:

$$V_n = - \frac{\partial_t q}{|\nabla q|} \Big|_{q \rightarrow 0^+}.$$

In spherical symmetry this becomes

$$\frac{dr_f}{dt} = - \frac{\partial_t q}{\partial_r q} \Big|_{r=r_f(t)}.$$

During continued infall the exterior should be Vaidya-like with a slowly varying mass parameter, settling to the Schwarzschild exterior after the front stabilizes. This is a well-posed program, but not yet a closed derivation: the transport coefficients, boundary action, and channel relaxation spectrum must be computed from the graph ensemble or constrained by simulation.

The dynamical system is presumed to preserve the usual covariant conservation of the combined matter-plus-capacity stress-energy, with any local matter depletion balanced by flux,

boundary work, or capacity-sector stress. Showing that this conservation structure follows from a graph-derived transport action, rather than imposing it as a constitutive condition, is part of the dynamical closure work.

The concrete closure tests are correspondingly specific. A spherical collapse simulation should show formation of the first $q = 0$ surface without overshoot into $q < 0$. A coupled matter-plus-capacity run should approach a Vaidya exterior during accretion and a Schwarzschild exterior after settling while satisfying the combined conservation law. Exterior perturbation simulations with an absorbing boundary should reproduce standard Schwarzschild greybody factors and ringdown, while partial-reflectivity runs should produce controlled echo delays. Finally, a microscopic boundary-action calculation or a graph-ensemble Monte Carlo of saturated boundary channels should reproduce the channel-counting rule that yields $n_{\text{hor}} S_{\infty}^{\text{cell}} = 1/4$. These are not new fit knobs; they are the numerical and microscopic tests that would close the dynamical and boundary sectors.

F.8 PPN boundary and weak-field breakdown

In the weak-field Solar-System regime, the scalar sector yields, at leading post-Newtonian order,

$$\gamma_{\text{PPN}} = \beta_{\text{PPN}} = 1,$$

with scalar-induced corrections to $\Phi - \Psi$ appearing only at quadratic weak-field order, schematically $O(\Phi^2/c^4)$, and with the remaining PPN coefficients vanishing in the canonical covariant branch. Weak-field truncations fail only when

$$\frac{|\Phi|}{c^2} = O(1), \quad \frac{\delta S}{S_{\infty}} = O(1),$$

which is exactly the regime where q rather than δS is the correct variable.

Appendix F therefore separates the strong-field claims cleanly. The bounded capacity variable, the lapse rule $N^2 = q$, the constrained-capacity exterior action, and the static Schwarzschild exterior on \mathcal{M}_q are closed within the EFT. The area coefficient is conditionally closed under the boundary channel-counting rule. The microscopic horizon boundary Hamiltonian, collapse dynamics, reflectivity, and rotating or charged generalizations remain the open strong-field research program.

Appendix G: Many-Pasts Operational Closure and Arrow of Time

Appendix G records the operational content of the Many-Pasts branch in compact form. The main thing to keep in view is that this branch is conservative where laboratory quantum mechanics is concerned and ambitious only in the larger interpretive and cosmological claims built on top of that operational core.

G.1 Closed operational weight

The canonical history weight is

$$P(H|P) \propto e^{-D(H,P)}, \quad D(H,P) = -\ln \text{Tr}(\Pi_P \rho_{H \rightarrow \text{now}}).$$

This is the operational branch with $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$.

Writing it this way matters because the generalized family is no longer left open in practice. The laboratory branch is fixed before any interpretive discussion begins.

G.2 Born recovery and no-signaling

In the ordinary projective laboratory limit,

$$e^{-D(H,P)} = \text{Tr}(\Pi_P \rho),$$

so the standard Born structure is recovered exactly. This fixes $\alpha = 1$. An independent signaling-sensitive bias channel is forbidden, which fixes $\beta = 0$. The result is ordinary operational quantum mechanics rather than a modified laboratory theory.

That is the core closure claim of the appendix. Whatever additional content the Many-Pasts sector adds, it does not do so by changing standard Born-rule laboratory predictions.

G.3 Arrow of time from conditional typicality

Let $h = \{M_t\}_{t < t_0}$ be a macrohistory conditioned on present records M_{t_0} . If the count of compatible microhistories is N_h , then

$$P(h|M_{t_0}) \propto N_h.$$

Under coarse-grained factorization,

$$\ln P(h|M_{t_0}) \approx \sum_{t < t_0} S(M_t) + \sum_{t < t_0} \ln T(M_{t+\Delta t}|M_t) + \text{const},$$

so entropy growth appears as a counting dominance effect among record-compatible histories rather than as a new laboratory coupling.

The arrow-of-time claim should therefore be read as a statement about conditional counting in the space of histories, not as the introduction of a new dynamical force.

Appendix G settles the laboratory sector operationally and leaves the cosmological and arrow-of-time content as a coherent interpretive extension.

Appendix H: Microscopic Realization and Coarse-Graining

Appendix H addresses a different question from the weak-field appendices. Instead of asking whether the coefficient chain is internally closed, it asks whether a plausible microscopic realization exists in which the same scalar stiffness and defect ontology arise naturally.

H.1 GFT condensate realization and coarse-graining

The candidate microscopic realization is a GFT/condensate picture with bosonic tetrahedral quanta $\phi(g_1, \dots, g_4)$ and fermionic defects ψ . In the condensate regime, the coarse field may be written as

$$\sigma(x) = \sqrt{n(x)} e^{i\theta(x)}.$$

The hydrodynamic identity

$$|\nabla_\mu \sigma|^2 = \frac{(\nabla_\mu n)^2}{4n} + n(\nabla_\mu \theta)^2$$

shows that if

$$S_{\text{ent}}(x) = S_0 + \alpha \ln \frac{n(x)}{n_{\text{bg}}},$$

then the coarse action contains a positive scalar stiffness

$$\gamma \sim \frac{Z_\sigma n_{\text{bg}}}{2\alpha^2} > 0.$$

The coarse source channel arises from fermionic face exclusion: what is macroscopically read as matter is a localized defect of the condensate, and the surrounding reduction of available occupancy is the long-wavelength field captured by the EFT. In this sense the microscopic appendix plays one clean role: it shows that the EFT is not hanging in midair, even though a finished first-principles derivation of every inhomogeneous continuum coefficient from the full underlying kernel is not yet available.

That is why the appendix remains brief but important. It does not replace the explicit coefficient derivation carried out earlier, but it shows that the ontology and sign choices of the EFT are compatible with a concrete microscopic picture rather than merely with an abstract formalism.

Appendix H gives a coherent microscopic realization supporting the closed weak-field chain, but not yet a closure-defining first-principles derivation of every continuum term.

Appendix I: Mass and Gauge Extensions

Appendix I collects sectors that are structurally connected to the same entanglement logic but are not part of the closed weak-field core. They are kept here because they show how the framework may extend, not because the main derivation depends on them.

I.1 Charged-lepton spectrum from the shell algebra

Beyond the electron anchor, the charged-lepton extension is formulated as a shell spectrum of fermionic defect excitations,

$$\log \frac{m_N}{m_e} = B_0 N + A_0 N^2, \quad N = 0, 1, 2,$$

with the electron as the $N = 0$ ground state and the muon and tau as successive radial entanglement-shell excitations of the same core structure. Each shell excitation is interpreted microscopically as the exclusion of one face label from the seven-state alphabet of the boundary ensemble in Appendix B.

Three-generation termination from closure-spectrum collapse. Combinatorial injectivity alone allows up to $N = 3$ shells, since the reduced alphabet must retain at least four distinct labels to support an injective face assignment ($7 - N \geq 4$). The sharper structural bound comes from the admissibility-closure machinery. For the reduced ensemble at shell N , the closure invariant K^2 inherits a discrete spectrum whose dispersion is what gives the admissibility kernel $e^{-\eta K^2}$ real weight. For $N = 0, 1, 2$ the K^2 spectrum has multiple distinct values, and the closure equation $\langle K^2 \rangle_\eta = 3/(2\eta)$ has a non-degenerate solution.

At $N = 3$ the spectrum collapses: every admissible microstate of the reduced four-label ensemble carries the same value of K^2 . The admissibility kernel then has nothing to weight against, and the closure equation is satisfied vacuously by any η consistent with the single spectral value. Shell $N = 3$ therefore does not define a non-degenerate admissibility-closed branch in the same sense as the earlier shells.

The number of charged-lepton generations is consequently the number of shells with a non-degenerate closure branch,

$$N \in \{0, 1, 2\} \quad \implies \quad \text{three generations,}$$

a structural bound sharper than the combinatorial one. It is this mechanism, rather than injectivity alone, that fixes the generation count at three rather than four.

Linear coefficient from the first-shell reduced-alphabet entropy. The linear coefficient is the entropy cost of adding one shell excitation. With one face label excluded, the reduced ensemble has

$$\Omega_1 = 2 P(6, 4) = 720 = 6!,$$

i.e., the full symmetric group S_6 with orientation degeneracy. Direct admissibility evaluation on the reduced ensemble gives $g_1 = \ln \Omega_1 = \ln 720$ to within 0.1%, since the admissibility correction is small on the reduced ensemble where the alphabet symmetry is nearly intact. Hence

$$B_0 = \ln \Omega_1 = \ln 720 = 6.579 \dots,$$

compared with the empirical fit $B_0 = 6.586$ (agreement at 0.1%).

Quadratic coefficient from singlet-projection shell algebra. The quadratic coefficient follows from three premises already in the framework: (i) mass arises from scalar capacity response (Postulate II); (ii) the scalar EFT response is quadratic in the total source; (iii) the coarse scalar branch projects onto the permutation-invariant singlet of the seven-state face alphabet.

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_7 = \text{span}\{|m\rangle : m = -3, \dots, 3\}, \quad |u\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \sum_{m=-3}^3 |m\rangle, \quad P_{\text{sing}} = |u\rangle\langle u|.$$

A shell excitation that marks the face state $|a_r\rangle$ contributes to a coherent shell source

$$|J_N\rangle = \sum_{r=1}^N |a_r\rangle.$$

The coarse scalar field δS is permutation-symmetric on face labels and therefore couples only through P_{sing} . The scalar-channel response is quadratic in the total source, so the relevant object is the source norm

$$\langle J_N | P_{\text{sing}} | J_N \rangle = \sum_{r,s=1}^N \langle a_r | P_{\text{sing}} | a_s \rangle.$$

Because $\langle a | P_{\text{sing}} | b \rangle = 1/7$ for any a, b , this reduces to $N^2/7$ independent of which specific face labels are excluded. Including the binary orientation degeneracy of the boundary ensemble, the orientation-summed scalar transfer weight per ordered shell incidence is

$$\mathcal{M}_{rs} = 2 \langle a_r | P_{\text{sing}} | a_s \rangle = \frac{2}{7}.$$

The ordered-pair count decomposes as $N^2 = N + 2\binom{N}{2}$: N self-incidences along the diagonal and $2\binom{N}{2}$ directed cross-incidences. Interpreting the shell mass map as an entropic transfer-weight partition factor, each ordered scalar incidence contributes the transfer weight $\mathcal{M}_{rs} = 2/7$. The N -shell dressing is therefore

$$\prod_{r,s=1}^N \mathcal{M}_{rs} = \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)^{N^2},$$

so

$$A_0 = \ln \frac{2}{7} = -1.253 \dots,$$

compared with the empirical fit $A_0 = -1.255$ (agreement at 0.15%).

The ordered-bilinear structure is not a free assumption. It is the unique scalar-channel response to a multi-source state under the three premises just stated: the source norm $\langle J_N | P_{\text{sing}} | J_N \rangle$ runs over both indices independently because the integrated-out scalar contribution is quadratic in J_N .

Mass ladder and numerical accuracy. Combining the two coefficients,

$$\boxed{\frac{m_N}{m_e} = 720^N \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)^{N^2}, \quad N = 0, 1, 2.}$$

Equivalently,

$$\log \frac{m_N}{m_{N-1}} = \ln 720 + (2N - 1) \ln \frac{2}{7},$$

so each added shell contributes $\ln 720$ plus an odd number of new scalar-overlap incidences ($1, 3, 5, \dots$, summing to N^2). The second difference is fixed at

$$\Delta^2 \log m_N = 2 \ln \frac{2}{7} = -2.506 \dots,$$

compared with the empirical -2.509 (0.15%). With no parameters fitted to lepton data,

$$\frac{m_\mu}{m_e} = \frac{720 \cdot 2}{7} = \frac{1440}{7} = 205.71, \quad \text{PDG: } 206.77 \quad (0.5\%);$$

$$\frac{m_\tau}{m_e} = 720^2 \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)^4 = 3454.14, \quad \text{PDG: } 3477.23 \quad (0.7\%).$$

The three structural factors — the reduced-alphabet multiplicity Ω_1 , the orientation-summed singlet projection $2/7$, and the ordered-pair count N^2 — each have transparent interpretations in machinery already used elsewhere in the closure chain. The $\ln 720$ is the first-shell reduced-alphabet entropy. The $2/7$ is the same orientation degeneracy and seven-state alphabet that fix $\Omega_{\text{tet}} = 1680$ in Appendix B and the boundary channel-counting rule in Appendix F.5. The N^2 exponent is the source-norm structure of the standard scalar EFT.

Status and remaining audit. The derivation above fixes the three-generation termination, the linear coefficient, and the quadratic coefficient from machinery already native to the framework, with no parameters fitted to lepton data. The residual audit is narrow: the lepton mass map should be written explicitly as the exponentiated scalar dressing of the coherent multi-shell source state, the normalization conventions should be checked against the electron anchor at $N = 0$, and the closure-spectrum-collapse result at $N = 3$ should be reproduced from an independent implementation of the K^2 spectrum. None of these steps is expected to alter the structural form of the mass ladder.

The electron anchor remains the clean weak-field entry point; the heavier charged leptons are conditionally derived outputs of the same shell algebra, not closure-defining ingredients of the gravitational chain. Composite hadrons remain part of the dressed bound-state entropy program rather than a completed output of the present construction. The neutrino and quark sectors are not treated here. The same closure-spectrum-collapse argument should apply universally if the framework is right — the Standard Model has three generations across all fermion sectors — but verifying that requires extending the shell construction to the relevant defect classes.

I.2 Gauge-structure extension and Standard Model ontology

The framework’s primary target is the gravitational and dark sector. Standard Model gauge structure is hosted on the substrate as external content rather than derived from it. This subsection specifies which gauge components have substrate-natural analogues, which are substrate-compatible but external, and how the baseline-redundancy template accommodates the full Standard Model gauge group $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$.

Baseline-redundancy template. The same logic that organizes the gravity sector extends to any conserved-charge sector. For a conserved charge Q , introduce an entropy-like potential $S_Q(x)$ and require that physical observables depend only on differences of that potential, not on its absolute baseline. Localizing that redundancy requires a compensating connection. In the Abelian case,

$$D_\mu S_Q = \partial_\mu S_Q - q A_\mu, \quad S_Q \rightarrow S_Q + \alpha(x), \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \frac{1}{q} \partial_\mu \alpha,$$

giving Maxwell-type dynamics for A_μ . The non-Abelian generalization, for multiplet-valued entropic potentials transforming under a compact Lie group G , gives Yang–Mills covariant derivatives and field strengths in the standard form.

The template tells us what form a gauge interaction takes once a conserved-charge sector with baseline redundancy is present. It does not select which specific groups are physically realized.

Substrate-natural components. Two ingredients of Standard Model gauge structure are naturally accommodated by the substrate.

$U(1)_Y$. The baseline-redundancy template naturally accommodates an Abelian conserved-charge sector of the hypercharge type. The associated entropy potential is conserved-charge in character, and localizing its baseline redundancy produces the corresponding Maxwell-type dynamics. The argument is independent of the gravity-sector derivation, applying the same template to a separate conserved-charge potential.

$SU(2)_L$. The substrate naturally carries an $SU(2)$ -representation structure through its half-integer face data. The spin-3/2 commitment forced by maximum-capacity channel selection and tetrahedral injectivity (Section 5) carries an $SU(2)$ action on face data through the standard double-cover relation. The static K^2 ensemble breaks this $SU(2)$ to its $U(1)$ Cartan via the magnetic-quantum-number projection, but the full $SU(2)$ is recoverable at the level of the quantum face data the projection discards. Identifying this representation-theoretic $SU(2)$ with the internal weak group $SU(2)_L$, including chirality and doublet assignments, remains external to the present derivation.

Substrate-compatible but external: $SU(3)_c$. Color $SU(3)$ does not emerge from the substrate by any of the standard mechanisms (direct decomposition of the seven-state face algebra, Seiberg duality, preon compositeness, topological soliton, monopole condensation). The obstructions are structural: $SU(3)$ is not a spin group, so it does not inherit from rotational covariance; its fundamental representation requires three equivalent (non-hierarchical) states, while the substrate’s natural three-fold structures (shell index, K^2 classes, residual face positions) are all hierarchical; its rank-2 Lie algebra requires two independent quantum-number axes, while the substrate provides only rank-1 axis structures; and its non-Abelian commutation relations cannot be reproduced from the substrate’s scalar edge couplings.

A positive ontological reading is nonetheless available within the framework. Color is the substrate’s name for an internal three-valued label on fermionic defects that lives in the non-singlet complement of the face-state algebra. The scalar gravitational branch couples to defect sources only through the permutation-invariant singlet (Appendix D), so the macroscopic scalar field is blind to non-singlet structure by construction. Color labels and color dynamics therefore live in a sector that gravity cannot resolve: the gravitational sector and the color sector occupy orthogonal subspaces of the substrate’s algebra. The strong interaction can be represented, within this hosting picture, as the local gauge dynamics of the color label under the general baseline-redundancy template. The choice of $SU(3)$ specifically is empirical input; it is the realized non-Abelian structure that fits the template.

This account explains several structural features of color without claiming to derive them. Gravity’s blindness to color follows from the scalar branch being the singlet projection of the substrate. Color confinement itself is not derived here; the substrate account provides that the macroscopic scalar-gravity branch resolves only singlet structure and is therefore blind to color non-singlets, which is consistent with the observed fact that hadronic macroscopic signatures are color-singlet but is not a replacement for the QCD confinement mechanism. The independence of color from generation, charge, and spin reflects the independence of the corresponding substrate sectors: color in the non-singlet complement of the face algebra, generation in the shell-excitation index (Appendix I.1), electromagnetic charge in the baseline redundancy of a separate conserved potential, and spin in the fermionic face data.

Hadronic matter and the mass–entropy bridge. The mass–entropy bridge $m = \kappa_m(\ell) \Delta S$ applies to fermionic defects regardless of their color label. For charged leptons, the defect is color-singlet and the dressed entropy is well-approximated by the shell-excitation budget of Appendix I.1, with mass ratios matching observation at the sub-percent level. For hadrons, the dressed entropy is dominated by the QCD-internal contributions of confinement-scale gluonic flux, trace-anomaly structure, chiral vacuum reorganization, and quark binding. The mass–entropy bridge then organizes the full dressed bound-state entropy budget,

$$S_{\text{ent,H}}^{\text{dressed}} = S_{\text{defect}} + S_{\text{bind}} + S_{\text{conf}} + S_{\chi\text{SB}},$$

without requiring the framework to re-derive QCD. The structural claim is compatibility: the dressed entropy budget is what QCD calculates, and the mass–entropy bridge converts it to inertial mass through the same running $\kappa_m(\ell)$ used for elementary sectors.

Scope summary. The Standard Model’s full gauge group $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ is hosted on the substrate at three distinct levels of derivational support: $U(1)_Y$ from baseline redundancy (substrate-natural via independent argument); $SU(2)_L$ from spin-3/2 fermionic face representation theory (substrate-natural with chirality identification external); $SU(3)_c$ as a non-singlet internal label (substrate-compatible but not substrate-derived). The framework’s target scope remains the gravitational and dark sector, and the gauge sector is hosted accordingly.

Appendix I remains a coherent extension layer: structurally linked to the same entanglement logic, but not part of the closed static weak-field derivation chain.

Appendix J: Numerical Checks and Robustness

Appendix J is intentionally modest. It does not add new derivations. It collects the main numerical cross-checks that make it easier to see that the same coefficient chain survives repeated contact with independent benchmark calculations.

J.1 Cross-sector numerical checks

The cross-check program includes:

- the one-bit fermionic defect check $\Delta S_f = \ln 2$;
- the rooted-shell convergence check $\sigma_{\text{ind}}^{(2)} \simeq \sigma_{\text{ind}}^{(3)}$;
- the UV closed-branch moments $\langle K^2 \rangle_{\eta_*}$, $\text{Var}_{\eta_*}(K^2)$, and a_{UV} ;
- cross-sector consistency among the electron anchor, the substrate length L_* , the induced scale G_* , the Green-matched Newton closure, and the galactic scale a_0 .

These checks do not replace the derivations, but they show that the same coefficient chain survives independent numerical scrutiny across the sectors where closure is claimed.

J.2 Reproducibility ledger for the substrate scale

The substrate-length calculation is short enough to record as a numerical ledger. Enumerating the 1680 oriented injective tetrahedral states with labels $m = -3, \dots, 3$, weighting them by $e^{-\eta K^2}$, and solving

$$\langle K^2 \rangle_\eta = \frac{3}{2\eta}$$

gives

$$\eta_* = 0.02986684439352237, \quad g_{\text{share,eff}} = 7.419800023570903.$$

The one-pass seven-sector history support and its transverse export are then

$$e^{7g_{\text{share,eff}}} = 3.602860521062804 \times 10^{22},$$

$$\frac{2}{3}e^{7g_{\text{share,eff}}} = 2.401907014041869 \times 10^{22}.$$

Using $\lambda_e = \hbar/(m_e c) = 3.861592671986303 \times 10^{-13}$ m gives

$$L_* = \frac{\lambda_e}{(2/3)e^{7g_{\text{share,eff}}}} = 1.607719470158885 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m},$$

and hence

$$G_* = \frac{c^3 L_*^2}{\hbar} = 6.603991270884347 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}.$$

The source-side Green constant is obtained independently from the diamond-lattice integral in Appendix C.5. Uniform-grid quadrature with endpoint extrapolation gives

$$G_{\text{tet}}(0) = 0.448220394388 \dots,$$

confirming the exact Joyce value

$$G_{\text{tet}}(0) = \frac{3\Gamma(1/3)^6}{2^{14/3}\pi^4}.$$

This is the value used in the source theorem. These numbers are not additional inputs; they are the numerical evaluation of the finite spectrum, the seven-sector history support, and the graph Green function already defined in the derivation.

Appendix J is supportive rather than closure-defining, serving as an audit layer for numerical consistency rather than an additional derivational sector.

References

- [1] S. S. McGaugh, F. Lelli, and J. M. Schombert, “Radial acceleration relation in rotationally supported galaxies,” *Physical Review Letters* **117**, 201101 (2016).
- [2] D. Clowe et al., “A direct empirical proof of the existence of dark matter,” *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **648**, L109–L113 (2006).
- [3] B. Bertotti, L. Iess, and P. Tortora, “A test of general relativity using radio links with the Cassini spacecraft,” *Nature* **425**, 374–376 (2003).
- [4] Y. Tian et al., “The radial acceleration relation in CLASH galaxy clusters,” arXiv:2001.08340 (2020).

- [5] A. J. Guttmann, “Lattice Green functions in all dimensions,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **43**, 305205 (2010).
- [6] G. S. Joyce, “On the cubic lattice Green functions,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A* **445**, 463–477 (1994).
- [7] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, “The dynamics of general relativity,” in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, ed. L. Witten (Wiley, New York, 1962).
- [8] J. D. Bekenstein, “Black holes and entropy,” *Physical Review D* **7**, 2333–2346 (1973).
- [9] S. W. Hawking, “Particle creation by black holes,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **43**, 199–220 (1975).
- [10] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, “Action integrals and partition functions in quantum gravity,” *Physical Review D* **15**, 2752–2756 (1977).
- [11] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, “Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity,” *Physical Review* **108**, 1063–1069 (1957).
- [12] F. J. Zerilli, “Effective potential for even-parity Regge–Wheeler gravitational perturbation equations,” *Physical Review Letters* **24**, 737–738 (1970).